RESEARCH ARTICLE





Causal effects of COVID-19 on structural changes in specific brain regions: a Mendelian randomization study

Shaojiong Zhou^{1†}, Tao Wei^{1†}, Xiaoduo Liu¹, Yufei Liu¹, Weiyi Song¹, Xinwei Que¹, Yi Xing^{1*}, Zhibin Wang^{1*} and Yi Tang^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background Previous studies have found a correlation between coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and changes in brain structure and cognitive function, but it remains unclear whether COVID-19 causes brain structural changes and which specific brain regions are affected. Herein, we conducted a Mendelian randomization (MR) study to investigate this causal relationship and to identify specific brain regions vulnerable to COVID-19.

Methods Genome-wide association study (GWAS) data for COVID-19 phenotypes (28,900 COVID-19 cases and 3,251,161 controls) were selected as exposures, and GWAS data for brain structural traits (cortical thickness and surface area from 51,665 participants and volume of subcortical structures from 30,717 participants) were selected as outcomes. Inverse-variance weighted method was used as the main estimate method. The weighted median, MR-Egger, MR-PRESSO global test, and Cochran's *Q* statistic were used to detect heterogeneity and pleiotropy.

Results The genetically predicted COVID-19 infection phenotype was nominally associated with reduced cortical thickness in the caudal middle frontal gyrus ($\beta = -0.0044$, p = 0.0412). The hospitalized COVID-19 phenotype was nominally associated with reduced cortical thickness in the lateral orbitofrontal gyrus ($\beta = -0.0049$, p = 0.0328) and rostral middle frontal gyrus ($\beta = -0.0022$, p = 0.0032) as well as with reduced cortical surface area of the middle temporal gyrus ($\beta = -10.8855$, p = 0.0266). These causal relationships were also identified in the severe COVID-19 phenotype. Additionally, the severe COVID-19 phenotype was nominally associated with reduced cortical thickness in the cuneus ($\beta = -0.0024$, p = 0.0168); reduced cortical surface area of the pericalcarine ($\beta = -2.6628$, p = 0.0492), superior parietal gyrus ($\beta = -5.6310$, p = 0.0408), and parahippocampal gyrus ($\beta = -0.1473$, p = 0.0297); and reduced volume in the hippocampus ($\beta = -15.9130$, p = 0.0024).

Conclusions Our study indicates a suggestively significant association between genetic predisposition to COVID-19 and atrophy in specific functional regions of the human brain. Patients with COVID-19 and cognitive impairment should be actively managed to alleviate neurocognitive symptoms and minimize long-term effects.

[†]Shaojiong Zhou and Tao Wei contributed equally to this study.

*Correspondence: Yi Xing xingyi_211@163.com Zhibin Wang wangzhibinxw@163.com Yi Tang tangyi@xwhosp.org Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Keywords COVID-19, Brain structure, Mendelian randomization, Causality

Background

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has imposed a large burden on public health. As of November 20, 2022, 634 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 worldwide, including 6.6 million deaths, have been reported to the World Health Organization. Almost 3 years into the pandemic, it has been recognized that some patients infected with COVID-19 suffer long-term symptoms, which are collectively referred to as "long COVID"; this discovery poses new clinical challenges. Although COVID-19 is predominantly a respiratory disease, studies have documented a broad spectrum of neuropsychiatric manifestations, such as hyposmia, cognitive impairment, and "brain fog," during acute COVID-19 [1, 2]; more concerningly, 25.9% of patients with COVID-19 experience residual neuropsychiatric symptoms that persist up to 20 months post-infection [3, 4]. These findings suggest that COVID-19 may have adverse effects on brain structures.

A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-based longitudinal study investigating 401 COVID-19 cases from the UK Biobank (in patients aged 51-81 years) identified significantly reduced cortical thickness in the orbitofrontal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus as well as changes in markers of brain tissue damage in regions functionally connected to the primary olfactory cortex [5]. Two positron emission tomography (PET) cohort studies investigated correlates of cognitive impairment and found that the orbital gyrus rectus, right medial temporal lobe, and frontoparietal regions displayed hypometabolism in patients with COVID-19 at a subacute stage [6, 7]. At a histopathological level, inflammation, hypoxia, and coagulation disorder are the three most common abnormalities in the brain tissue of patients with severe COVID-19 at the acute stage [8], presumably as consequences of viral invasion [9, 10], viral-induced neuroinflammation or immune response in the brain [1, 11], hypoxemia [8], and blood-brain barrier dysfunction [12]. In addition, persistent hyposmia or anosmia after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in the absence of nasal symptoms is a relatively specific manifestation of COVID-19, suggesting damage to the olfactory pathway [13–16]. Further studies are needed to investigate the effects of COVID-19 on structures of specific brain functional regions.

Neuropsychiatric manifestations are not exclusive to patients with moderate and severe COVID-19. In fact, cognitive impairment is also prevalent in non-hospitalized patients with mild COVID-19, occurring in 1.67% of females and 3.81% of males [4]. Most patients infected with the omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 were asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic, but the incidence of long COVID associated with these infections was as high as 4.5% [17]. However, neuroimaging data for assessing brain structural changes is lacking in most of these mild cases. In addition, studies on the effects of COVID-19 on brain structures or cognitive function could be confounded by situational factors that affected many people during the pandemic. For example, patients with COVID-19 were often segregated in restricted areas and confined in individual rooms, potentially exacerbating brain atrophy [18]. SARS-CoV-2 infects a substantial proportion of elderly individuals with age-related brain atrophy and cognitive decline, which can complicate efforts to attribute these phenotypes to COVID-19 [19, 20]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to determine whether COVID-19 can potentiate structural changes in specific brain functional regions.

Mendelian randomization (MR) is an analytical method that uses single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as instrumental variables (IVs) to make causal inferences between exposures and outcomes. Random assortment during meiosis effectively divides a population of SNPs into effect and control groups for the risk factor based on the genetic profile of each individual, akin to a randomized controlled trial [21]. In this study, using largescale genome-wide association study (GWAS) data, we performed a two-sample MR analysis to appraise the causal effects of COVID-19 (the exposure) on cortical and subcortical structures (the outcome), defined as MRI-derived morphometric indicators of cortical thickness, cortical surface area, and volume of subcortical structures. We found that COVID-19 potentially caused atrophy in specific brain functional regions, and more extensive brain atrophy may result from severe COVID-19. Our study provides new evidence for a causal relationship between COVID-19 and brain structural changes and suggests possible causes of cognitive impairment after COVID-19.

Methods

Figure 1 displays a schematic of our study design. This study is reported in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guidelines (Additional file 1: Table S1) [22].

Study population

Data for COVID-19 phenotypes were obtained as exposures from GWAS datasets provided by the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. The GWAS datasets were



Fig. 1 Overall design of the MR analysis in the present study. Abbreviations: COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; IVW, inverse-variance weighted; MR, Mendelian randomization; MR-PRESSO, Mendelian randomization-pleiotropy residual sum and outlier; nSNPs, number of single-nucleotide polymorphisms

adjusted for age, age², sex, age × sex, principal components, and study-specific covariates of each contributing cohort [23]. For the COVID-19 infection phenotype, we included 14,134 confirmed cases of COVID-19 with 1,284,876 population controls [23]. For the hospitalized COVID-19 phenotype, we included 6406 hospitalized COVID-19 cases with 902,088 population controls as well as 1776 hospitalized cases with 6443 non-hospitalized control cases [23]. For the severe COVID-19 phenotype, we included 4792 confirmed cases of very severe respiratory infections with 1,054,664 population controls [23], 1610 confirmed severe COVID-19 cases with respiratory failure and 2180 population controls [24], and 182 confirmed critical illness cases with 910 population controls [25].

Data for brain structural traits were selected as outcomes from a GWAS of MRI-derived brain morphometry conducted by the ENIGMA consortium [26, 27]. The covariates adjusted for volume, included age, sex, age², four multidimensional scaling, intracranial volume, and site [27]. For cortical thickness and surface area, 51,665 individuals from 60 cohorts across the globe were included. Thirty-four brain regions and the whole cortex were defined using the Desikan-Killiany cortical atlas, and estimates were weighted by the entire brain. For the volume of subcortical structures, seven brain regions were measured in 30,717 participants, including thalamus volume, nucleus accumbens volume, putamen volume, caudate volume, amygdala volume, hippocampus volume, and pallidum volume, which were all adjusted by intracranial volume. Phenotypes were defined as the mean estimates of the left and right hemispheres (thickness was calculated in mm, surface area in mm², and volume of subcortical structures in cm³).

Genetic instruments

First, after determining that few SNPs met the significance threshold of 5×10^{-8} [28, 29], we set a relatively relaxed threshold of 1×10^{-5} . Second, linkage disequilibrium (LD) clumping was performed to identify the independent SNPs (r^2 threshold < 0.001 within a 10 Mb window) [30]. When no SNP in the outcome dataset met this criterion, proxy SNPs with LD set at r^2 > 0.8 were used. To ensure the strength of the chosen SNPs, we also calculated the *F* statistic, and an *F* statistic of 10 was regarded as sufficiently robust to counteract weak instrument bias [31]. Finally, to determine whether SNPs were associated with potential risk factors, we searched all SNPs in PhenoScanner (Version 2, http://www.pheno scanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/) [31, 32]. We removed SNPs associated with diseases or risk factors potentially associated with brain structural changes, including all neurological and psychiatric disorders, fluid intelligence, obesity, hypoxemia, and other potential confounders [33, 34]. The remaining SNPs were used in the MR analysis.

Statistical analysis

To address variant heterogeneity and pleiotropy, we used three different MR methods: (1) inverse-variance weighted (IVW), which was the main analysis method and (2) the weighted median and MR-Egger methods in sensitivity analyses to improve the IVW model-based estimation. The IVW method yields high-power results but is based on the premise that all IVs were valid [35]. The weighted median approach provides consistent effect estimates when < 50% of the genetic variants are invalid [36], whereas the MR-Egger method provided estimates after correcting for pleiotropic effects, although at the cost of lower statistical power [37]. The effect estimates were considered significant only when p_{IVW} < 0.05, and all methods had consistent β directions [38]. For the significance estimates, the MR-PRESSO global test and MR-Egger regression test were used as the main methods to account for potential pleiotropy [39, 40]. Additionally, Cochran's Q statistic was used to evaluate heterogeneity among genetic variants [41]. A *p* value less than 1.07×10^{-4} (0.05/468, Bonferroni method) was considered statistically significant, while a p value less than 0.05 was considered nominally significant evidence for a potential causal association [42, 43]. All statistical analyses were performed using RStudio (R version 4.1.1) with the packages "TwoSampleMR" [44] and "MR-PRESSO" [40].

Results

MR analysis was performed to determine whether there were causal relationships of COVID-19 with cortical thickness, cortical surface area, or volume of subcortical structures (Fig. 2). Detailed results are presented in Additional file 1: Table S2-S7. We identified some nominally significant brain structures affected by COVID-19 (Table 1, Fig. 3). The characteristics of selected SNPs are

presented in Additional file 1: Table S8. A low risk of substantial weak instrument bias was identified, as the *F* statistics for all the SNPs ranged from 28 to 50,488. No SNPs were associated with neurological diseases or hypoxemia according to the PhenoScanner. rs332040 was significantly associated with psychiatric disorders, including worry or anxiety ($p = 5.28 \times 10^{-22}$), neuroticism ($p = 1.09 \times 10^{-14}$), and miserableness ($p = 6.27 \times 10^{-9}$). rs17707300 was associated with fluid intelligence ($p = 1.35 \times 10^{-10}$) and body mass index ($p = 3.28 \times 10^{-25}$). The remaining SNPs were not directly associated with brain structures and related confounders.

Causal estimates of genetically predicted COVID-19 on cortical thickness

The genetically predicted COVID-19 infection phenotype was nominally associated with reduced cortical thickness in the caudal middle frontal gyrus ($\beta = -0.0044$ mm, SE = 0.0022, p = 0.0412). A similar result was obtained when analyzing a population with a severe COVID-19 phenotype ($\beta = -0.0017$ mm, SE = 0.0008, p = 0.0244). The hospitalized COVID-19 phenotype was nominally associated with reduced cortical thickness in the lateral orbitofrontal cortex ($\beta = -0.0049$ mm, SE = 0.0023, p = 0.0328) and rostral middle frontal gyrus (β = - 0.0022 mm, SE = 0.0008, p = 0.0032). A similar association was found between reduced cortical thickness in the rostral middle frontal gyrus and the severe COVID-19 phenotype ($\beta = -0.0014$ mm, SE = 0.0006, p = 0.0190). Genetic predisposition to the severe COVID-19 phenotype was also nominally associated with decreased cortical thickness in the cuneus ($\beta = -0.0024$ mm, SE = 0.0010, p =0.0168) and middle temporal gyrus ($\beta = -0.0002$ mm, SE = 0.0001, p = 0.0462) (Table 1).

Causal estimates of genetically predicted COVID-19 on cortical surface area

The genetically predicted COVID-19 infection phenotype had no causal effect on the cortical surface area. However, the hospitalized COVID-19 phenotype was nominally associated with reduced surface area of the middle temporal gyrus ($\beta = -10.8855 \text{ mm}^2$, SE = 4.9088, p = 0.0266), and this causal effect was also confirmed in the severe COVID-19 phenotype ($\beta = -0.5261 \text{ mm}^2$, SE = 0.2312, p = 0.0229). In addition, genetic predisposition to severe COVID-19 was nominally associated with decreased surface area in several cortices, including the parahippocampal gyrus ($\beta = -0.1473 \text{ mm}^2$, SE = 0.0678, p = 0.0297), pericalcarine ($\beta = -2.6628 \text{ mm}^2$, SE = 1.3540, p = 0.0492), and superior parietal cortex (β = -5.6310 mm^2 , SE = 2.7532, p = 0.0408). Notably, the severe COVID-19 phenotype was nominally associated





Table 1 Main results of the MR analysis

Exposures Outcomes	nSNPs	Method	β (95%Cl)	SE	<i>p</i> value
COVID-19 vs. general population					
Thickness of caudal middle frontal gyrus	28	IVW	- 0.0044 (- 0.0087, - 0.0002)	0.0022	0.0412
Hospitalized COVID-19 vs. general population	on				
Thickness of lateral orbitofrontal gyrus	26	IVW	- 0.0049 (- 0.0093, - 0.0004)	0.0023	0.0328
Surface area of middle temporal gyrus	26	IVW	- 10.8855 (- 20.5067, - 1.2642)	4.9088	0.0266
Hospitalized COVID-19 vs. non-hospitalized	COVID-19				
Thickness of rostral middle frontal gyrus	20	IVW	- 0.0022 (- 0.0037, - 0.0008)	0.0008	0.0032
Severe COVID-19 vs. general population					
Thickness of cuneus	39	IVW	- 0.0024 (- 0.0043, - 0.0004)	0.0010	0.0168
Volume of hippocampus	36	IVW	– 15.9127 (– 26.2067, – 5.6188)	5.2520	0.0024
Severe COVID-19 with respiratory failure vs.	general popul	lation			
Thickness of rostral middle frontal gyrus	14	IVW	- 0.0014 (- 0.0025, - 0.0002)	0.0006	0.0190
Thickness of caudal middle frontal gyrus	14	IVW	- 0.0017 (- 0.0032, - 0.0002) 0.0008		0.0244
Surface area of pericalcarine	14	IVW	- 2.6628 (- 5.3167, - 0.0089)	1.3540	0.0492
Surface area of superior parietal gyrus	14	IVW	- 5.6310 (- 11.0270, - 0.2348)	2.7532	0.0408
Critical COVID-19 vs. general population					
Thickness of middle temporal gyrus	92	IVW	- 0.0002 (- 0.0003, 0)	0.0001	0.0462
Surface area of middle temporal gyrus	92	IVW	– 0.5261 (– 0.9793, – 0.0729)	0.2312	0.0229
Surface area of parahippocampal gyrus	92	IVW	- 0.1473 (- 0.2802, - 0.0145)	0.0678	0.0297
Surface area of insula	92	IVW	0.3832 (0.1192, 0.6472)	0.1347	0.0044

Abbreviations: COVID-19 Coronavirus disease 2019, CI Confidence interval, IVW inverse-variance weighted, nSNPs Number of single-nucleotide polymorphisms, SE Standard error. The β values were calculated in millimeters. A p value < 1.07×10⁻⁴ (Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold) was considered statistically significant. A p value < 0.05 was considered nominally significant

with an increased cortical surface area of the insula ($\beta = 0.3832 \text{ mm}^2$, SE = 0.1347, p = 0.0044) (Table 1).

Causal estimates of genetically predicted COVID-19 on the volume of subcortical structures

There was nominally significant evidence that the severe COVID-19 phenotype was associated with reduced volume of the hippocampus ($\beta = -15.9127 \text{ mm}^3$, SE = 5.2520, p = 0.0024). The weighted median approach showed a similar effect size ($\beta = -15.8282 \text{ mm}^3$, SE = 7.8174) and significance level (p = 0.0429). However, no statistically significant associations of subcortical structures with the COVID-19 infection phenotype or the hospitalized COVID-19 phenotype were identified (Table 1).

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analyses were performed using MR-Egger and weighted median analyses. All of these results were directionally consistent with the IVW analyses. For the aforementioned effect estimates, we also used Cochran's *Q* statistic to evaluate the degree of heterogeneity, as well as the MR-PRESSO global test and MR-Egger intercept test to detect horizontal pleiotropy. Heterogeneity was detected regarding the surface area of the middle temporal gyrus ($p_Q = 0.0010$) and parahippocampal gyrus ($p_Q = 0.0199$). MR-PRESSO global tests showed horizontal pleiotropy in the surface area of the middle temporal gyrus and parahippocampal gyrus as well as in cortical thickness of the caudal middle frontal gyrus, but no horizontal pleiotropy was identified by the MR-Egger intercept test. The results of sensitivity analyses are shown in Table 2.

Discussion

Using two-sample MR analysis, our study identified the potential causal effects of COVID-19 on changes in cortical and subcortical structures, providing new evidence for a causal relationship between COVID-19 and brain structural changes. Moreover, we identified specific brain functional regions vulnerable to COVID-19 among 34 cortical regions and seven subcortical structures. According to our results, genetically predicted COVID-19 phenotypes are nominally associated with atrophy in specific brain functional regions, including the lateral orbitofrontal cortex, parahippocampal gyrus, hippocampus, pericalcarine, cuneus, middle frontal gyrus, middle temporal gyrus, and superior parietal cortex. More



Fig. 3 The two-sample MR framework showed that COVID-19 potentially causes structural changes in specific brain functional regions. Brain regions with positive IVW-derived β values are shown in red and brain regions with negative IVW-derived β values are shown in purple

severe COVID-19 might be associated with more extensive brain atrophy.

A study published recently reported that an increased cortical thickness in the left inferior temporal gyrus may increase susceptibility to and hospitalization with COVID-19 [45]. In contrast, our study aimed to investigate the effects of COVID-19 on brain structures and identify specific brain functional regions that are vulnerable to COVID-19. Our findings shed light on the patterns and mechanisms of brain damage caused by COVID-19. Based on large-scale GWAS data, our study confirmed

the results of previous studies [5, 46]. Douai et al. found that COVID-19 was related to atrophy in brain regions functionally connected to the primary olfactory cortex, including the orbitofrontal cortex, hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, and insula [5]. Consistent with these findings, our study identified this causal relationship using MR analysis. Additionally, a recent follow-up study showed that patients with severe COVID-19 have a greater variety and a higher incidence of neuropsychiatric symptoms [3], which may indicate more extensive brain damage. According to our results, brain atrophy Table 2 Heterogeneity and pleiotropy tests of the causal effects of COVID-19 on brain structures

Exposures	Cochrane's Q test		MR-Egger intercept test		MRPRESSO
Outcomes	Q-value	p _Q	Intercept	p _{intercept}	global test <i>p</i> value
COVID-19 vs. general population					
Thickness of caudal middle frontal gyrus	36.5379	0.1040	- 0.0003	0.5605	0.0470
Hospitalized COVID-19 vs. general populatio	n				
Thickness of lateral orbitofrontal gyrus	22.6627	0.5973	- 0.0002	0.7648	0.5780
Surface area of middle temporal gyrus	25.8789	0.4141	- 0.8634	0.5589	0.4400
Hospitalized COVID-19 vs. non-hospitalized	COVID-19				
Thickness of rostral middle frontal gyrus	15.6886	0.6779	- 0.0002	0.7609	0.6830
Severe COVID-19 vs. general population					
Thickness of cuneus	43.8548	0.2371	0.0006	0.2634	0.2640
Volume of hippocampus	34.0941	0.5117	- 3.1943	0.2435	0.5160
Severe COVID-19 with respiratory failure vs.	general population				
Thickness of rostral middle frontal gyrus	6.7957	0.9124	0	0.9628	0.8080
Thickness of caudal middle frontal gyrus	16.9137	0.2033	- 0.0005	0.5768	0.2750
Surface area of pericalcarine	7.5854	0.8695	1.6803	0.2526	0.9240
Surface area of superior parietal gyrus	10.6660	0.6393	1.6369	0.5755	0.7390
Critical COVID-19 vs. general population					
Thickness of middle temporal gyrus	76.1485	0.8680	0.0001	0.6839	0.8910
Surface area of middle temporal gyrus	138.3960	0.0010	1.1069	0.2578	0.0010
Surface area of parahippocampal gyrus	120.8511	0.0199	0.2390	0.4051	0.0130
Surface area of insula	96.8868	0.3169	0.0544	0.9242	0.3400

Abbreviations: COVID-19 Coronavirus disease 2019, MR-PRESSO Mendelian randomization-pleiotropy residual sum and outlier

might be confined to some regions in the frontotemporal cortex in population with relatively mild COVID-19, whereas severe COVID-19 could affect more brain regions, including the hippocampus and par hippocampal gyrus, as well as some parietal and occipital cortices, indicating that the range of brain damage may be related to the severity of COVID-19.

COVID-19 potentially causes atrophy in the orbitofrontal cortex, hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, and insula, which are olfactory-related brain regions. At the level of brain networks and neurocognitive functions, these olfactory-related brain regions are closely associated with olfactory perception, memory, and emotion regulation. The orbitofrontal cortex, as the secondary olfactory cortex, plays an important role in the olfactory pathway [47]. COVID-19 might affect olfactory perception through the orbitofrontal cortex [16, 48, 49]. As this brain region is the core of the olfaction-emotion neural circuit, abnormal activation could lead to cacosmia and might further cause anxiety and depression in patients with COVID-19 [50, 51]. The orbitofrontal cortex also plays a critical role in emotion regulation and cognitive functions, such as depression, decision-making, attention, and executive function [52-55], which are also impaired in patients with COVID-19 [56-59]. At the level of histopathology and cell biology, SARS-CoV-2 was shown to infect astrocytes and lead to neuronal death in the orbitofrontal cortex in individuals with COVID-19 [60]. Additionally, a large body of evidence has shown that SARS-CoV-2 targets cells expressing angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors via spike glycoprotein with the assistance of transmembrane protein serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) [8]. Although ACE2 and TMPRSS2 are expressed in the brain tissue at low levels, they are highly expressed in supporting cells in the olfactory epithelium, where SARS-CoV-2 invasion or virus-induced neuroinflammation may serve as a port of entry to the central nervous system [8–10]. Additionally, the hippocampus is the hub of brain regions dealing with memory [61]; connectivity between the hippocampus and insula links the hippocampus to the salience network and default mode network, which is crucial for memory processing and consolidation [62].

Memory encoding, storage, and retrieval depend on the integrity and coordination of the hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, and prefrontal cortex [61]. Previous research has shown that SARS-CoV-2 infection could induce neuroinflammation, cause neuronal degeneration, and inhibit neurogenesis in the hippocampus of humans and hamsters without SARS-CoV-2 invasion [63, 64]. The causal effects of COVID-19 on atrophy in the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus might be related to the impairment of episodic memory and working memory after COVID-19 [7, 65, 66]. The insula is an anatomical integration hub with tight connectivity to extensive networks that can compensatively enhance functional connectivity with other brain regions, especially the hippocampus, in normal elderly patients and in patients with mild cognitive impairment [67, 68]. Based on neural adaptation [69], the positive β value of the insula in our results might indicate the existence of a compensatory mechanism to mitigate the negative effects of COVID-19 on other brain functional regions. Our findings, combined with previous neuroimaging, cognitive neuroscience, histopathological, cellular, and molecular evidence, further indicate that olfactory-related brain regions are the brain structures predominantly affected by COVID-19, which suggests possible causes of cognitive impairment after COVID-19. The underlying mechanisms may include SARS-CoV-2 invasion via the olfactory pathway, loss of olfactory sensory input, and virus-induced neuroinflammation.

According to previous studies, visual impairment in patients with COVID-19 is usually considered a consequence of conjunctivitis, retinitis, central retinal artery/ venous occlusion, or retinal bleeding [70]. The unexplained retinal microstructural changes may result from retinal vascular disease or virus-induced inflammation [70-72]. However, our study is the first to find that COVID-19 might cause atrophy in the pericalcarine and cuneus, suggesting vulnerability of the visual-related cortex to COVID-19. The pericalcarine is the primary visual cortex, whereas the processing of visual signals requires the involvement of the cuneus, which is activated almost simultaneously with the primary visual cortex in response to visual stimuli [73]. The higher level of ACE2 expressed in the visual-related cortex compared with that in other brain regions probably potentiates SARS-CoV-2 infection [74, 75]. The high expression levels of ACE2 in vascular endothelial cells suggest that SARS-CoV-2 might cross the blood-brain barrier and invade brain tissue [12], especially the visual-related cortex. The mechanisms leading to impairment of the visual-related cortex and visual perception in patients with COVID-19 remain unclear. We hypothesize that the invasion of SARS-CoV-2 across the blood-brain barrier into the visualrelated cortex or the attenuation of visual sensory input due to visual impairment may explain these phenomena.

Our study also indicates a suggestively significant association between severe COVID-19 and atrophy in the superior parietal cortex, middle frontal gyrus, and middle temporal gyrus. Structural abnormalities in the frontal, parietal, and temporal lobes have been reported in previous studies of patients with COVID-19, especially in severe cases [76, 77]. The superior parietal cortex is an important component of the dorsal attentional network, and abnormality in this region might be associated with impaired attention and visuospatial processing in patients with COVID-19 [78, 79]. In addition, previous studies have shown reduced gray matter volume in the middle frontal gyrus of patients with COVID-19 requiring oxygen therapy and in the middle temporal gyrus of patients with COVID-19 who are febrile [80]. A recent randomized controlled trial showed that at least 3 months of hyperbaric oxygen therapy after COVID-19 improved patients' neurocognitive symptoms and the microstructure of some gyrus in the frontal, parietal, and temporal cortices. Therefore, hypoxemia may mediate the causal effects of COVID-19 on brain structural changes [8]. However, the precise mechanism requires further study.

Our study found that different COVID-19 phenotypes result in structural changes in different brain regions. Pathological processes secondary to severe COVID-19, such as hypoxemia and shock, may mediate the causal effects of COVID-19 on brain structural changes, thereby complicating the mechanisms by which COVID-19 damages brain structures. Different secondary pathological processes may impact different brain regions [8]. Additionally, different COVID-19 phenotypes are associated with different genetic predispositions. Patients with a genetic predisposition to severe COVID-19 may exhibit different patterns of altered brain regions than patients with mild COVID-19. Based on our findings, we suggest that severe COVID-19 tends to affect a broader range of brain regions, causing damage to evolutionarily older brain regions, such as the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus [81]. Nonetheless, further research is necessary to understand the underlying mechanisms.

The deleterious effects of COVID-19 on brain structures suggest that the residual cognitive impairments and mental disorders experienced by patients may be irreversible, which would diminish the quality of life, especially in the elderly population. The increased risk of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias is considered a long-term consequence of SARS-CoV-2 infection [82], particularly in patients with severe COVID-19 [83]. Thus, patients with COVID-19 and cognitive impairment should be actively managed to alleviate neurocognitive symptoms and minimize long-term effects.

Strengths and limitations

The primary strength of our study is the MR design, which can overcome misinterpretation of a causal relationship resulting from reverse causality or potential confounders [84]. We removed SNPs associated with psychiatric disorders, fluid intelligence, and body mass index, which reduces concerns that brain structural

changes were caused by other health conditions. By analyzing large-scale GWAS data related to COVID-19 phenotypes, 34 cortical regions, and seven subcortical structures, we provide more detailed information regarding specific brain functional regions vulnerable to COVID-19. Our study also has some limitations. First, it is important to note that the severity of brain structural changes in our framework was not investigated. More specific analyses are needed to determine the causal relationship between the severity of brain structural changes and different COVID-19 phenotypes. Second, although brain morphological indicators in our study characterized structural changes, they are not the optimal indicators for investigating neurological function and underlying mechanisms. We also cannot fully determine whether pathological processes secondary to COVID-19 mediate the causal effects of COVID-19 on brain structural changes. Thus, future studies should investigate the mechanisms by which COVID-19 affects neurological function and brain structures. Third, SNPs were selected using a relatively relaxed threshold (p < 1 \times 10⁻⁵), and the *p* values of estimates were only nominally significant, which may reduce the credibility of the results to some extent. Fourth, selection bias would exist due to the binary exposures used in this MR analysis. The collider bias "COVID-19 infection" may be present when analyzing the phenotype "hospitalized COVID-19 versus non-hospitalized COVID-19". Fifth, a relatively relaxed threshold ($r^2 > 0.8$) was used when SNPs were not available in the outcome dataset, which may cause pleiotropy bias. Sixth, the nominally significant findings in our study need to be confirmed by further research. Moreover, some effect values in our study are very small, which may limit their practical application and require further research. Finally, the proportion of cases included in this study was small and the participants were of European ancestry who were diagnosed with COVID-19 in 2020, when the predominant variant of SARS-CoV-2 was the wild type. Hence, our exploratory findings should be interpreted with caution in populations with non-European ancestry or regarding other SARS-CoV-2 variants.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we found a suggestively significant association between genetic predisposition to COVID-19 and atrophy in specific functional regions of the human brain by MR analysis of large-scale GWAS data. More extensive brain atrophy may result from severe COVID-19. Irrespective of the exact mechanism of the associations via a genetic background, our findings provide new evidence for a causal relationship between COVID-19 and brain structural changes and suggest possible causes of cognitive impairment after COVID-19.

Abbreviations

ACE2	Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2
COVID-19	Coronavirus disease 2019
GWAS	Genome-wide association study
IVW	Inverse-variance weighted
IVs	Instrumental variables
LD	Linkage disequilibrium
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
MR	Mendelian randomization
PET	Positron emission tomography
SARS-CoV-2	Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2
SNPs	Single-nucleotide polymorphisms
STROBE	Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in
	Epidemiology
TMPRSS2	Transmembrane protein serine protease 2

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12916-023-02952-1.

Additional file 1: TableS1. STROBE-MR reporting guidelines. Table S2. MR analysis of the causal relationship between COVID-19and brain structure. Table S3. MRanalysis of the causal relationship between hospitalized COVID-19 and brainstructure. Table S4. MR analysis of the causal relationship between hospitalized COVID-19and brain structure. Table S5. MR analysis of the causal relationship between severe COVID-19 and brainstructure. Table S6. MR analysis of the causal relationship between severe COVID-19 with respiratory failure andbrain structure. Table S7. MRanalysis of the causal relationship between critical COVID-19 and brainstructure. Table S8. Characteristicsof selected SNPs for COVID-19 phenotypes.

Acknowledgments

We thank the ENIGMA Consortium and COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative for providing genome-wide association study data. We gratefully acknowledge their contributing studies and the participants in the corresponding studies.

Authors' contributions

T.Y., W.Z.B., and X.Y. conceived the hypothesis. Z.S.J. and W.T. designed the study, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. Z.S.J., W.T., L.X.D., S.W.Y, Q.X.W., and L.Y.F. interpreted the results. Z.S.J. and X.Y. were responsible for data management. T.Y. and W.Z.B. reviewed and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2022YFC3602600), National Natural Science Foundation of China (82220108009, 81970996), and STI2030-Major Projects (2021ZD0201801). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, data analyses, interpretation of results, or writing of this study.

Availability of data and materials

The data used in this study is publicly available for download in the ENIGMA Consortium (https://enigma.ini.usc.edu/) and COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative (https://www.covid19hg.org/).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This research has been conducted using published studies and consortia providing publicly available summary statistics. All original studies have been approved by the corresponding ethical review board, and the participants have provided informed consent. Ethics statements of studies that contributed participant data to the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative are provided in Supplementary Table 1 of their recently published paper (https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-021-03767-x). Ethics approval for meta-analyses within the ENIGMA consortium was granted by the QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute Human Research Ethics Committee in Australia (approval P2204).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Neurology & Innovation Center for Neurological Disorders, Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University, National Center for Neurological Disorders, 45 Changchun Street, Beijing 100053, China. ²Neurodegenerative Laboratory of Ministry of Education of the Peoples Republic of China, Beijing, China.

Received: 17 February 2023 Accepted: 19 June 2023 Published online: 19 July 2023

References

- Frontera JA, Simon NM. Bridging knowledge gaps in the diagnosis and management of neuropsychiatric sequelae of COVID-19. JAMA Psychiatry. 2022;79(8):811–7.
- Ceban F, Ling S, Lui LMW, Lee Y, Gill H, Teopiz KM, Rodrigues NB, Subramaniapillai M, Di Vincenzo JD, Cao B, et al. Fatigue and cognitive impairment in post-COVID-19 syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Brain Behav Immun. 2022;101:93–135.
- Zhao Y, Shi L, Jiang Z, Zeng N, Mei H, Lu Y, et al. The phenotype and prediction of long-term physical, mental and cognitive COVID-19 sequelae 20 months after recovery, a community-based cohort study in China. Mol Psychiatry. 2023;28(4):1793–801. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41380-023-01951-1.
- Wulf Hanson S, Abbafati C, Aerts JG, Al-Aly Z, Ashbaugh C, Ballouz T, Blyuss O, Bobkova P, Bonsel G, Borzakova S, et al. Estimated global proportions of individuals with persistent fatigue, cognitive, and respiratory symptom clusters following symptomatic COVID-19 in 2020 and 2021. JAMA. 2022;328(16):1604–15.
- Douaud G, Lee S, Alfaro-Almagro F, Arthofer C, Wang C, McCarthy P, Lange F, Andersson JLR, Griffanti L, Duff E, et al. SARS-CoV-2 is associated with changes in brain structure in UK Biobank. Nature. 2022;604(7907):697–707.
- Hosp JA, Dressing A, Blazhenets G, Bormann T, Rau A, Schwabenland M, Thurow J, Wagner D, Waller C, Niesen WD, et al. Cognitive impairment and altered cerebral glucose metabolism in the subacute stage of COVID-19. Brain. 2021;144(4):1263–76.
- Guedj E, Million M, Dudouet P, Tissot-Dupont H, Bregeon F, Cammilleri S, Raoult D. (18)F-FDG brain PET hypometabolism in post-SARS-CoV-2 infection: substrate for persistent/delayed disorders? Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2021;48(2):592–5.
- Wan D, Du T, Hong W, Chen L, Que H, Lu S, Peng X. Neurological complications and infection mechanism of SARS-COV-2. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6(1):406.
- Meinhardt J, Radke J, Dittmayer C, Franz J, Thomas C, Mothes R, Laue M, Schneider J, Brünink S, Greuel S, et al. Olfactory transmucosal SARS-CoV-2 invasion as a port of central nervous system entry in individuals with COVID-19. Nat Neurosci. 2021;24(2):168–75.
- Jiao L, Yang Y, Yu W, Zhao Y, Long H, Gao J, Ding K, Ma C, Li J, Zhao S, et al. The olfactory route is a potential way for SARS-CoV-2 to invade the central nervous system of rhesus monkeys. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6(1):169.
- 11. Deleidi M, Isacson O. Viral and inflammatory triggers of neurodegenerative diseases. Sci Transl Med. 2012;4(121):121ps123.
- 12. Chen Y, Yang W, Chen F, Cui L. COVID-19 and cognitive impairment: neuroinvasive and blood–brain barrier dysfunction. J Neuroinflammation. 2022;19(1):222.
- Brann DH, Tsukahara T, Weinreb C, Lipovsek M, Van den Berge K, Gong B, et al. Non-neuronal expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry genes in the olfactory system suggests mechanisms underlying COVID-19-associated anosmia. Sci Adv. 2020;6(31):eabc5801. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abc5801.
- Butowt R, Bilinska K. SARS-CoV-2: olfaction, brain infection, and the urgent need for clinical samples allowing earlier virus detection. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2020;11(9):1200–3.

- Cooper KW, Brann DH, Farruggia MC, Bhutani S, Pellegrino R, Tsukahara T, Weinreb C, Joseph PV, Larson ED, Parma V, et al. COVID-19 and the chemical senses: supporting players take center stage. Neuron. 2020;107(2):219–33.
- Lechien JR, Chiesa-Estomba CM, De Siati DR, Horoi M, Le Bon SD, Rodriguez A, Dequanter D, Blecic S, El Afia F, Distinguin L, et al. Olfactory and gustatory dysfunctions as a clinical presentation of mild-to-moderate forms of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a multicenter European study. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2020;277(8):2251–61.
- Antonelli M, Pujol JC, Spector TD, Ourselin S, Steves CJ. Risk of long COVID associated with delta versus omicron variants of SARS-CoV-2. Lancet. 2022;399(10343):2263–4.
- Muntsant A, Giménez-Llort L. Impact of social isolation on the behavioral, functional profiles, and hippocampal atrophy asymmetry in dementia in times of coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): a translational neuroscience approach. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11: 572583.
- Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, Prem K, Jit M, Eggo RM. Age-dependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. Nat Med. 2020;26(8):1205–11.
- 20. Gadó K, Kovács AK, Domján G, Nagy ZZ, Bednárik GD. COVID-19 and the elderly. Physiol Int. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1556/2060.2022.00203. Epub ahead of print.
- Davey Smith G, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. Hum Mol Genet. 2014;23(R1):R89-98.
- Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Yarmolinsky J, Davies NM, Swanson SA, VanderWeele TJ, Higgins JPT, Timpson NJ, Dimou N, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology Using Mendelian Randomization: The STROBE-MR Statement. JAMA. 2021;326(16):1614–21.
- 23. The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative, a global initiative to elucidate the role of host genetic factors in susceptibility and severity of the SARS-CoV-2 virus pandemic. Eur J Hum Genet 2020, 28(6):715-718.
- Ellinghaus D, Degenhardt F, Bujanda L, Buti M, Albillos A, Invernizzi P, Fernández J, Prati D, Baselli G, Asselta R, et al. Genomewide association study of severe COVID-19 with respiratory failure. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(16):1522–34.
- Pairo-Castineira E, Clohisey S, Klaric L, Bretherick AD, Rawlik K, Pasko D, Walker S, Parkinson N, Fourman MH, Russell CD, et al. Genetic mechanisms of critical illness in COVID-19. Nature. 2021;591(7848):92–8.
- Grasby KL, Jahanshad N, Painter JN, Colodro-Conde L, Bralten J, Hibar DP, Lind PA, Pizzagalli F, Ching CRK, McMahon MAB et al: The genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. Science. 2020;367(6484).
- Hibar DP, Stein JL, Renteria ME, Arias-Vasquez A, Desrivières S, Jahanshad N, Toro R, Wittfeld K, Abramovic L, Andersson M, et al. Common genetic variants influence human subcortical brain structures. Nature. 2015;520(7546):224–9.
- Shi X, Wei T, Hu Y, Wang M, Tang Y. The associations between plasma soluble Trem1 and neurological diseases: a Mendelian randomization study. J Neuroinflammation. 2022;19(1):218.
- Yang J, He X, Qian L, Zhao B, Fan Y, Gao F, Yan B, Zhu F, Ma X. Association between plasma proteome and childhood neurodevelopmental disorders: A two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis. EBioMedicine. 2022;78:103948.
- Wei T, Guo Z, Wang Z, Li C, Zhu W, Zheng Y, Yin Y, Mi Y, Xia X, Hou H, et al. Five major psychiatric disorders and Alzheimer's disease: a Bidirectional Mendelian Randomization Study. J Alzheimers Dis. 2022;87(2):675–84.
- 31. Sleiman PM, Grant SF. Mendelian randomization in the era of genomewide association studies. Clin Chem. 2010;56(5):723–8.
- Staley JR, Blackshaw J, Kamat MA, Ellis S, Surendran P, Sun BB, Paul DS, Freitag D, Burgess S, Danesh J, et al. PhenoScanner: a database of human genotype-phenotype associations. Bioinformatics. 2016;32(20):3207–9.
- Song W, Qian W, Wang W, Yu S, Lin GN. Mendelian randomization studies of brain MRI yield insights into the pathogenesis of neuropsychiatric disorders. BMC Genomics. 2021;22(Suppl 3):342.
- Mulugeta A, Lumsden A, Hyppönen E. Unlocking the causal link of metabolically different adiposity subtypes with brain volumes and the risks of dementia and stroke: a Mendelian randomization study. Neurobiol Aging. 2021;102:161–9.
- Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. Genet Epidemiol. 2013;37(7):658–65.

- Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. Genet Epidemiol. 2016;40(4):304–14.
- Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. Int J Epidemiol. 2015;44(2):512–25.
- Chen X, Kong J, Pan J, Huang K, Zhou W, Diao X, Cai J, Zheng J, Yang X, Xie W, et al. Kidney damage causally affects the brain cortical structure: a Mendelian randomization study. EBioMedicine. 2021;72: 103592.
- Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Davey Smith G, Sheehan N, Thompson J. A framework for the investigation of pleiotropy in two-sample summary data Mendelian randomization. Stat Med. 2017;36(11):1783–802.
- 40. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. Nat Genet. 2018;50(5):693–8.
- Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Zhao Q, Lawlor DA, Sheehan NA, Thompson J, Davey Smith G. Improving the accuracy of two-sample summary-data Mendelian randomization: moving beyond the NOME assumption. Int J Epidemiol. 2019;48(3):728–42.
- Prins BP, Abbasi A, Wong A, Vaez A, Nolte I, Franceschini N, Stuart PE, Guterriez Achury J, Mistry V, Bradfield JP, et al. Investigating the causal relationship of C-reactive protein with 32 complex somatic and psychiatric outcomes: a large-scale cross-consortium Mendelian randomization study. PLoS Med. 2016;13(6): e1001976.
- Zhao JV, Burgess S, Fan B, Schooling CM. L-carnitine, a friend or foe for cardiovascular disease? A Mendelian randomization study. BMC Med. 2022;20(1):272.
- 44. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, Laurin C, Burgess S, Bowden J, Langdon R et al: The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. Elife 2018, 7.
- Fan Z, Ruan Z, Liu Z, Guo B, Wang C, Fan X, Chen L, Zhao S. Causal association of the brain structure with the susceptibility, hospitalization, and severity of COVID-19: A large-scale genetic correlation study. J Med Virol. 2023;95(3):e28651.
- Lu Y, Li X, Geng D, Mei N, Wu PY, Huang CC, Jia T, Zhao Y, Wang D, Xiao A, et al. Cerebral micro-structural changes in COVID-19 patients - an MRIbased 3-month follow-up study. EClinicalMedicine. 2020;25:100484.
- Rolls ET. Taste and smell processing in the brain. Handb Clin Neurol. 2019;164:97–118.
- Hornuss D, Lange B, Schröter N, Rieg S, Kern WV, Wagner D. Anosmia in COVID-19 patients. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020;26(10):1426–7.
- Yildirim D, Kandemirli SG, Tekcan Sanli DE, Akinci O, Altundag A. A comparative olfactory MRI, DTI and fMRI study of COVID-19 related anosmia and post viral olfactory dysfunction. Acad Radiol. 2022;29(1):31–41.
- Ismail II, Gad KA. Absent blood oxygen level-dependent functional magnetic resonance imaging activation of the orbitofrontal cortex in a patient with persistent cacosmia and cacogeusia after COVID-19 infection. JAMA Neurol. 2021;78(5):609–10.
- Krusemark EA, Novak LR, Gitelman DR, Li W. When the sense of smell meets emotion: anxiety-state-dependent olfactory processing and neural circuitry adaptation. J Neurosci. 2013;33(39):15324–32.
- Johnson EL, Chang WK, Dewar CD, Sorensen D, Lin JJ, Solbakk AK, Endestad T, Larsson PG, Ivanovic J, Meling TR, et al. Orbitofrontal cortex governs working memory for temporal order. Curr Biol. 2022;32(9):R410-r411.
- Klein-Flügge MC, Bongioanni A, Rushworth MFS. Medial and orbital frontal cortex in decision-making and flexible behavior. Neuron. 2022;110(17):2743–70.
- Carmichael G. Orbitofrontal cortex necessary to experience regret. Lancet Neurol. 2004;3(7):389.
- 55. Cardinal RN, Parkinson JA, Hall J, Everitt BJ. Emotion and motivation: the role of the amygdala, ventral striatum, and prefrontal cortex. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2002;26(3):321–52.
- Crivelli L, Palmer K, Calandri I, Guekht A, Beghi E, Carroll W, Frontera J, García-Azorín D, Westenberg E, Winkler AS, et al. Changes in cognitive functioning after COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Alzheimers Dement. 2022;18(5):1047–66.
- Graham EL, Clark JR, Orban ZS, Lim PH, Szymanski AL, Taylor C, DiBiase RM, Jia DT, Balabanov R, Ho SU, et al. Persistent neurologic symptoms and cognitive dysfunction in non-hospitalized Covid-19 "long haulers." Ann Clin Transl Neurol. 2021;8(5):1073–85.

- Mazza MG, Palladini M, De Lorenzo R, Magnaghi C, Poletti S, Furlan R, Ciceri F, Rovere-Querini P, Benedetti F. Persistent psychopathology and neurocognitive impairment in COVID-19 survivors: effect of inflammatory biomarkers at three-month follow-up. Brain Behav Immun. 2021;94:138–47.
- Hall PA, Meng G, Hudson A, Sakib MN, Hitchman SC, MacKillop J, Bickel WK, Fong GT. Cognitive function following SARS-CoV-2 infection in a population-representative Canadian sample. Brain Behav Immun Health. 2022;21:100454.
- Crunfli F, Carregari VC, Veras FP, Silva LS, Nogueira MH, Antunes A, Vendramini PH, Valença AGF, Brandão-Teles C, Zuccoli GDS, et al. Morphological, cellular, and molecular basis of brain infection in COVID-19 patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2022;119(35): e2200960119.
- Moscovitch M, Cabeza R, Winocur G, Nadel L. Episodic memory and beyond: the hippocampus and neocortex in transformation. Annu Rev Psychol. 2016;67:105–34.
- Kaefer K, Stella F, McNaughton BL, Battaglia FP. Replay, the default mode network and the cascaded memory systems model. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2022;23(10):628–40.
- Soung AL, Vanderheiden A, Nordvig AS, Sissoko CA, Canoll P, Mariani MB, Jiang X, Bricker T, Rosoklija GB, Arango V, et al. COVID-19 induces CNS cytokine expression and loss of hippocampal neurogenesis. Brain. 2022;145(12):4193–201.
- Bayat AH, Azimi H, Hassani Moghaddam M, Ebrahimi V, Fathi M, Vakili K, Mahmoudiasl GR, Forouzesh M, Boroujeni ME, Nariman Z, et al. COVID-19 causes neuronal degeneration and reduces neurogenesis in human hippocampus. Apoptosis. 2022;27(11–12):852–68.
- Delgado-Alonso C, Valles-Salgado M, Delgado-Álvarez A, Yus M, Gómez-Ruiz N, Jorquera M, Polidura C, Gil MJ, Marcos A, Matías-Guiu J, et al. Cognitive dysfunction associated with COVID-19: a comprehensive neuropsychological study. J Psychiatr Res. 2022;150:40–6.
- Tavares-Júnior JWL, de Souza ACC, Borges JWP, Oliveira DN, Siqueira-Neto JI, Sobreira-Neto MA, Braga-Neto P. COVID-19 associated cognitive impairment: a systematic review. Cortex. 2022;152:77–97.
- 67. Skouras S, Falcon C, Tucholka A, Rami L, Sanchez-Valle R, Lladó A, Gispert JD, Molinuevo JL. Mechanisms of functional compensation, delineated by eigenvector centrality mapping, across the pathophysiological continuum of Alzheimer's disease. Neuroimage Clin. 2019;22:101777.
- Luo N, Sui J, Abrol A, Lin D, Chen J, Vergara VM, Fu Z, Du Y, Damaraju E, Xu Y, et al. Age-related structural and functional variations in 5,967 individuals across the adult lifespan. Hum Brain Mapp. 2020;41(7):1725–37.
- Stiles J, Reilly J, Paul B, Moses P. Cognitive development following early brain injury: evidence for neural adaptation. Trends Cogn Sci. 2005;9(3):136–43.
- Finsterer J, Scorza FA, Scorza CA, Fiorini AC. SARS-CoV-2 impairs vision. J Neuroophthalmol. 2021;41(2):166–9.
- 71. Zhang Y, Stewart JM. Retinal and choroidal manifestations of COVID-19. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2021;32(6):536–40.
- 72. Marinho PM, Marcos AAA, Romano AC, Nascimento H, Belfort R Jr. Retinal findings in patients with COVID-19. Lancet. 2020;395(10237):1610.
- Vanni S, Tanskanen T, Seppä M, Uutela K, Hari R. Coinciding early activation of the human primary visual cortex and anteromedial cuneus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(5):2776–80.
- Cui H, Su S, Cao Y, Ma C, Qiu W. The altered anatomical distribution of ACE2 in the brain with Alzheimer's disease pathology. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:684874.
- 75. Chen R, Wang K, Yu J, Howard D, French L, Chen Z, Wen C, Xu Z. The spatial and cell-type distribution of SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 in the human and mouse brains. Front Neurol. 2020;11:573095.
- Planchuelo-Gómez Á, García-Azorín D, Guerrero ÁL, Rodríguez M, Aja-Fernández S, de Luis-García R. Structural brain changes in patients with persistent headache after COVID-19 resolution. J Neurol. 2023;270(1):13–31.
- Du YY, Zhao W, Zhou XL, Zeng M, Yang DH, Xie XZ, Huang SH, Jiang YJ, Yang WH, Guo H, et al. Survivors of COVID-19 exhibit altered amplitudes of low frequency fluctuation in the brain: a resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging study at 1-year follow-up. Neural Regen Res. 2022;17(7):1576–81.
- Corbetta M, Shulman GL, Miezin FM, Petersen SE. Superior parietal cortex activation during spatial attention shifts and visual feature conjunction. Science. 1995;270(5237):802–5.

- Koenigs M, Barbey AK, Postle BR, Grafman J. Superior parietal cortex is critical for the manipulation of information in working memory. J Neurosci. 2009;29(47):14980–6.
- Dondaine T, Ruthmann F, Vuotto F, Carton L, Gelé P, Faure K, Deplanque D, Bordet R. Long-term cognitive impairments following COVID-19: a possible impact of hypoxia. J Neurol. 2022;269(8):3982–9.
- Morgane PJ, Galler JR, Mokler DJ. A review of systems and networks of the limbic forebrain/limbic midbrain. Prog Neurobiol. 2005;75(2):143–60.
- Gordon MN, Heneka MT, Le Page LM, Limberger C, Morgan D, Tenner AJ, Terrando N, Willette AA, Willette SA. Impact of COVID-19 on the onset and progression of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias: a roadmap for future research. Alzheimers Dement. 2022;18(5):1038–46.
- Baranova A, Cao H, Zhang F. Causal effect of COVID-19 on Alzheimer's disease: a Mendelian randomization study. Journal of medical virology. 2023;95(1):e28107.
- Burgess S, Davey Smith G, Davies NM, Dudbridge F, Gill D, Glymour MM, Hartwig FP, Holmes MV, Minelli C, Relton CL, et al. Guidelines for performing Mendelian randomization investigations. Wellcome Open Res. 2019;4:186.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

