CORRESPONDENCE

Open Access

Substantially more children receiving antidepressants see a specialist than reported by Jack et al.

Vicky P. Taxiarchi¹, Carolyn A. Chew-Graham² and Matthias Pierce^{1*}

Abstract

We would like to draw attention to evidence of substantial bias in the article published in this journal by Jack et al. (BMC Med 18:1-12, 2020). They provide an analysis of antidepressant prescribing to children and young people (CYP; ages 5 to 17) in primary care in England and reported that only 24.7% of CYP prescribed SSRIs for the first time were seen by a child and adolescent psychiatrist—contrary to national guidelines. We believe that their analysis is based on incomplete data that misses a large proportion of specialist mental health contacts. This is because the dataset Jack et al. used to capture specialist mental health contact—The Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) dataset—has poor coverage, as most CYP mental health services do not submit data. We demonstrate the level of underreporting with an analysis of events in a large primary care dataset where there has been a record of definite contact with CYP mental health services. We report that as many as three quarters of specialist CYP contacts with mental health specialists are missed in the HES dataset, indicating that the figure presented by Jack et al. is substantially wrong.

Keywords Children and young people (CYP), Antidepressants, Prescriptions, Primary care, Secondary care

Methods

In order to quantify the level of underreporting of CYP mental health services report to the HES dataset, we use the Clinical Research Practice Datalink (CPRD-Aurum) linked to HES inpatient and outpatient datasets. The CPRD records diagnoses, medications and other clinical events, including referrals and discharges from hospital. We identified 4,046,885 CYP, aged 5 to 17, who were eligible for linkage to HES and registered at a CPRD-Aurum participating practice over the period 1 January 2007 to 31 December 2017. We selected observations

in the CPRD which have been coded as "Seen in child and adolescent psychiatry clinic" or "Seen by child and adolescent psychiatrist". We included only those events occurring over the age range 5 to 17 and between 2007 and 2017. When children had more than one event, the first was selected. This resulted in a cohort of 15,726 CYP. We then calculated the proportion of these who had an inpatient or outpatient HES records in the year before this event, where the clinical speciality was "Child and Adolescent Psychiatry". This was our main measure, which we interpret as the proportion of CYP mental health specialists that report to HES.

As a sensitivity analysis, we expanded the capture window to 2 years before and included other clinical specialties: "Adult Mental Illness", "Forensic Psychiatry", "Paediatric Neurology", "Paediatrics", "Medical Psychotherapy", "Neurology". These clinical specialisms emulate other specialisms included in the analysis by Jack et al. [1]. As a further sensitivity, we restricted to events that

*Correspondence: Matthias Pierce

matthias.pierce@manchester.ac.uk

¹ Division of Psychology and Mental Health, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, Centre for Women's Mental Health, University of Manchester, Jean McFarlane Building, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK
² School of Medicine, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, UK



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Taxiarchi et al. BMC Medicine (2023) 21:345 Page 2 of 3

occurred in the CPRD from 1 April 2009 onwards as data quality in the HES outpatient dataset has been reported to be better from April 2008 [2].

Results

Of 15,726 CYP with a contact with a CYP psychiatrist, only 27.5% (n = 4325) were matched to a HES record in the year before, where the clinical speciality was 'Child and Adolescent Psychiatry' (Table 1). Following expansion to other specialists, the rate of matches increased to 49.0%. By widening the data capture window to 2 years prior, the rates of matches increased to 29.8% and 56.0%.

Discussion

We report that, in England, between three quarters and a half of contacts with specialist CYP mental health services are not reported in national hospital data. We conclude, therefore, that the paper by Jack et al [1]. considerably underestimates the true rate of contacts with specialist CYP mental health services for children and adolescents who are prescribed antidepressants, and the implication that general practitioners (GPs) are initiating antidepressants in children and young people in the majority of cases is simply wrong.

Whilst some CYP may be prescribed antidepressants against clinical guidelines, the figure of only 25% seeing a specialist is inaccurate and unnecessarily alarmist. From our analysis, if we apply the figure of 27.5% of CYP mental health services being in the HES dataset, then we could estimate that the figure is closer to 25/0.275 = 91%, which fits more closely to our clinical experience. Given that we use a different primary care dataset to Jack et al., further checks are needed to ascertain that our estimate applies to their sample. Otherwise, it may be possible to re-do their analysis, using a sample of primary care practices where we know that data are being reported to HES. Whilst this task may be challenging given the quality of the data at hand, getting the true figure correct is important, as the findings have significant public interest, demonstrated

Table 1 Rates of matches between CPRD records where there was a record of contact with child and adolescent psychiatrist, and HES records [95% CI]

		Specialists	
		Child psychiatrist	Child psychiatrist and others
Capture window	1 year 2 years	27.5 [26.8, 28.2] 29.8 [29.1, 30.5]	49.0 [48.3, 49.8] 56.0 [55.2, 56.8]

CI Confidence interval

by the results by Jack et al. being repeated in a report by the National Institute for Health and Care Research [3] and subsequently picked up in the national media [4, 5]. Having inaccurate statistics serves nobody and is likely to cause unwarranted alarm amongst the public and policymakers and confusion and upset amongst GPs who do follow guidelines.

Our analysis also demonstrates a significant data gap. Whilst data are not routinely reported from specialist CYP mental health services to the HES dataset, they are reported to a separate national dataset, the Mental Health Services Dataset (MHSDS) [6]. However, there are significant data quality issues with this dataset, and, because of this, linkage between this and the CPRD has been withdrawn. Whilst analysis of the MHSDS has helped show trends in referrals to specialist mental health services, the poor quality of recording of patient characteristics and lack of linkage to other datasets severely limits its utility [6]. In particular, there is a gap in our understanding of who is being seen in services and what their long-term outcomes are. Better quality data and linkage is vital if we are to tackle significant public health challenges, such as the current crisis in CYP mental health treatment.

Abbreviations

CYP Children and young people
HES Hospital Episode Statistics
CPRD Clinical Research Practice Datalink
GPs General practitioners
MHSDS Mental Health Services Dataset

Acknowledgements

This study is based on data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink obtained under licence from the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. The data are provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and support. We would like to acknowledge all the data providers, patients and general practices that made the anonymised data available for research.

Authors' contributions

MP conceived of this letter. MP extracted the data. VPT performed the analysis. All authors contributed to data interpretation. MP wrote the initial draft and all authors contributed to the final manuscript and approved its submission.

Fundina

VPT is funded by the European Research Council (GA682741). MP is funded on a Henry Dale Fellowship by the Wellcome Trust and the Royal Society (224243/Z/21/Z).

CCG is part funded by West Midlands ARC (no grant number).

Availability of data and materials

The clinical codes, data management and analysis code used in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. Access to data can be requested via application to the Clinical Practice Research Datalink.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

CPRD has ethical approval to support public health research using anonymised patient data (Multiple Research Ethics Committee reference 05/MRE04/87). The current study's protocol received scientific approval from

Taxiarchi et al. BMC Medicine (2023) 21:345 Page 3 of 3

the CPRD's Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (protocol number 22 001742).

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 3 February 2023 Accepted: 21 August 2023 Published online: 11 September 2023

References

- Jack RH, Joseph RM, Coupland C, et al. Secondary care specialist visits made by children and young people prescribed antidepressants in primary care: a descriptive study using the QResearch database. BMC Med. 2020;18:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01560-7.
- Thorn JC, Turner E, Hounsome L, et al. Validation of the hospital episode statistics outpatient dataset in England. Pharmacoeconomics. 2016;34:161–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40273-015-0326-3.
- Evidence N. Antidepressants for children and teenagers: what works for anxiety and depression? London: 2022. https://doi.org/10.3310/nihre vidence_53342.
- Only a QUARTER of children given antidepressants saw a psychiatrist beforehand: GPs 'often' break NHS rules in doling out the powerful drugs. Dly. Mail. 2022. https://www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-11389839/ amp/Only-QUARTER-children-given-antidepressants-saw-psychiatristbeforehand.html?ico=amp_articleInlineText.
- GPs giving antidepressants to children against guidelines. Guard. 2022. https://www.theguardian.com/society/2022/nov/04/gps-giving-antidepressants-to-children-against-guidelines?CMP=Share_iOSApp_Other.
- Digital N. Mental Health Services Data Set (MHSDS). https://digital.nhs.uk/ data-and-information/data-collections-and-data-sets/data-sets/mentalhealth-services-data-set (Accessed 13 Jan 2023).

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

