

Association of plasma proteomics with mortality in individuals with and without type 2 diabetes: Results from two population-based KORA cohort studies

Hong Luo^{1,2}, Agnese Petrera³, Stefanie M. Hauck^{3,4}, Wolfgang Rathmann^{5,6}, Christian Herder^{6,7,8}, Christian Gieger^{1,4,9}, Annika Hoyer¹⁰, Annette Peters^{1,2,4} and Barbara Thorand^{1,2,4*}

Abstract

Background Protein biomarkers may contribute to the identification of vulnerable subgroups for premature mortality. This study aimed to investigate the association of plasma proteins with all-cause and cause-specifc mortality among individuals with and without baseline type 2 diabetes (T2D) and evaluate their impact on the prediction of allcause mortality in two prospective Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) studies.

Methods The discovery cohort comprised 1545 participants (median follow-up 15.6 years; 244 with T2D: 116 total, 62 cardiovascular, 31 cancer-related and 23 other-cause deaths; 1301 without T2D: 321 total, 114 cardiovascular, 120 cancer-related and 87 other-cause deaths). The validation cohort comprised 1031 participants (median follow-up 6.9 years; 203 with T2D: 76 total, 45 cardiovascular, 19 cancer-related and 12 other-cause deaths; 828 without T2D: 169 total, 74 cardiovascular, 39 cancer-related and 56 other-cause deaths). We used Cox regression to examine associations of 233 plasma proteins with all-cause and cause-specifc mortality and Lasso regression to construct prediction models for all-cause mortality stratifying by baseline T2D. C-index, category-free net reclassifcation index (cfNRI), and integrated discrimination improvement (IDI) were conducted to evaluate the predictive performance of built prediction models.

Results Thirty-fve and 62 proteins, with 29 overlapping, were positively associated with all-cause mortality in the group with and without T2D, respectively. Out of these, in the group with T2D, 35, eight, and 26 were positively associated with cardiovascular, cancer-related, and other-cause mortality, while in the group without T2D, 55, 41, and 47 were positively associated with respective cause-specifc outcomes in the pooled analysis of both cohorts. Regulation of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) transport and uptake by IGF-binding proteins emerged as a unique pathway enriched for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in individuals with T2D. The combined model containing the selected proteins (fve and 12 proteins, with four overlapping, in the group with and without T2D, respectively) and clinical risk factors improved the prediction of all-cause mortality by C-index, cfNRI, and IDI.

Conclusions This study uncovered shared and unique mortality-related proteins in persons with and without T2D and emphasized the role of proteins in improving the prediction of mortality in diferent T2D subgroups.

*Correspondence: Barbara Thorand barbara.thorand@helmholtz-munich.de Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Keywords Proteomics, All-cause mortality, Type 2 diabetes, Cardiovascular mortality, Cancer-related mortality, Othercause mortality, Cohort study

Background

Mortality rate is a powerful and accurate indicator of the overall health of a population. Notably, individuals diagnosed with type 2 diabetes (T2D) face an elevated risk of premature mortality, not only in terms of allcause mortality but also concerning various causes of death, especially cardiovascular disease (CVD) and cancer, with risks being 1.27–4.26 times higher than for those without T2D $[1, 2]$ $[1, 2]$ $[1, 2]$ $[1, 2]$. Hence, it is crucial to understand the underlying mechanisms affecting mortality across different T2D status and to implement effective preventive strategies tailored to these specific groups.

Circulating biomarkers have the potential to elucidate biological pathways contributing to disease, holding promise for future pathway‐specific therapies and personalized treatment approaches. High-throughput proteomics, potent technologies for biomarker discovery [\[3](#page-11-2)], has been employed in prior studies exploring the associations $[4-18]$ $[4-18]$ $[4-18]$ and predictive performance $[4, 4]$ $[4, 4]$ $[4, 4]$ [8–](#page-11-4)[13](#page-12-1), [15,](#page-12-2) [16\]](#page-12-3) with all-cause and cause-specific mortality, primarily focused on cardiovascular mortality [\[7](#page-11-5), [8,](#page-11-4) [13–](#page-12-1)[16](#page-12-3)]. Of note, these studies mainly focused on the general population $[4-8, 18]$ $[4-8, 18]$ $[4-8, 18]$ $[4-8, 18]$ $[4-8, 18]$, patients with CVD $[9-15]$ $[9-15]$ $[9-15]$ or renal diseases $[16]$ $[16]$, leaving a conspicuous research gap where comprehensive investigations in individuals with and without baseline T2D are lacking. Given the reported associations and potential causal effect between T2D and protein levels [[19](#page-12-5), [20\]](#page-12-6), it is likely that T2D might influence protein–mortality associations.

To address this gap, our study is the first to assess the association of plasma proteomics with all-cause and cause-specific mortality in individuals with and without T2D. Subsequently, we constructed proteinenriched models stratified by baseline T2D status, evaluating the extent to which these protein biomarkers enhance the prediction of all-cause mortality beyond traditional risk factors.

Methods

Study population

The present analysis focused on two population-based Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) cohorts for discovery and validation. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are illustrated in Additional file 1: Figure S1.

KORA S4 – Discovery sample

The KORA S4 study enrolled 4261 participants from 1999 to 2001 $[21]$ $[21]$. The KORA S4 discovery sample used in the present analysis was restricted to those aged 55–74 with available proteomics data (*n*=1653). Following the exclusion of participants with missing proteomics data, missing covariables, those lost to follow-up, non-T2D cases, and those with unclear diabetes status, this study comprised 1545 participants followed for a median of 15.6 years (244 participants with T2D and 1301 participants without T2D). Prevalent T2D included individuals with self-reported and subsequently validated T2D and with newly diagnosed T2D based on an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) using World Health Organization criteria [\[22](#page-12-8)] or baseline HbA1c≥6.5%. Self-reported diabetes diagnoses were validated by contacting the treating physicians or reviewing medical charts; only participants without confrmed diabetes underwent an OGTT [[23\]](#page-12-9).

KORA‑Age1 – Validation sample

The KORA-Age1 study included 9197 participants from four cross-sectional Monitoring of Trends and Determinants in Cardiovascular Disease (MONICA) / KORA surveys (Survey S1 in 1984/85, Survey S2 in 1989/90, Survey S3 in 1994/95, and Survey S4 in 1999/2001) born before 1943 $[24]$ $[24]$ $[24]$. The KORA-Age1 validation sample for the present analysis was restricted to those who participated in an onsite baseline examination in 2009 (*n*=1079, aged 65–93 years). After exclusions following the discovery sample criteria, 1031 participants followed for a median of 6.9 years remained for analysis (203 participants with T2D and 828 without T2D). Due to the lack of OGTT, prevalent T2D was defned based on self-report (with subsequent validation) and baseline HbA1c≥6.5% only. Notably, 231 participants from KORA-Age1 overlapped with the KORA S4 discovery sample because these participants fell into the studied age range for KORA-Age1. Since these participants were examined at two diferent time points in the two studies, we included them in the primary analyses of both studies and subsequently excluded them in a sensitivity analysis of the KORA-Age1 study.

Measurement of protein biomarkers

Plasma concentrations of 276 proteins were measured using the CVD-II, CVD-III, and Infammation

panels from Olink® (Uppsala, Sweden) based on proximity extension assay (PEA) technology in KORA S4 and KORA-Age1. Log2-normalized protein expression values were provided $[25]$ $[25]$, and these were standardized by division by their respective standard deviation within the complete dataset, before applying exclusions. The proteomics data underwent consistent quality control criteria, including exclusions for proteins with over 25% of values below the limit of detection (LOD), and with missing values. If a protein was included in two panels, the duplicate with fewer values below LOD and a lower interassay coefficient of variation was retained. For the pooled sample of KORA S4 and KORA-Age1, protein values of KORA-Age1 were adjusted using bridging factors from duplicate KORA S4 measurements run together with the KORA-Age1 samples. In total, 233 unique proteins passed quality control in KORA S4 [[26\]](#page-12-12) and 90 proteins with statistically signifcant associations with allcause mortality were carried forward to KORA-Age1 for validation.

Additionally, in KORA S4, fve of the validated protein biomarkers (interleukin-1 receptor antagonist protein [IL-1RA], IL-6, insulin-like growth factor-binding protein [IGFBP] 2, IL-8, and N-terminal prohormone brain natriuretic peptide [NT-proBNP]) were additionally measured in serum using sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (ECLIA) (IL-1RA: Quantikine ELISA human Il-1ra Kit (R&D Systems, Wiesbaden, Germany) [\[27](#page-12-13)]; IL-6: PeliKine Compact human IL-6 ELISA Kit (CLB, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) [\[28](#page-12-14)]; IGFBP 2: Human IGFBP2 Quantikine ELISA Kit (R&D Systems, Wiesbaden, Germany) [[27\]](#page-12-13); IL-8: ELISA from Sanquin [Amsterdam, the Netherlands] [\[29](#page-12-15)]; NT-proBNP: ECLIA [Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany] [\[30\]](#page-12-16)).

Measurement of all‑cause and cause‑specifc mortality

Participants from the KORA S4 and KORA-Age1 cohorts were followed for all-cause and cause-specifc (cardiovascular, cancer-related and other-cause) mortality until November 2016, using death certifcates coded according to the International Classifcation of Diseases (ICD) 9th Revision. Cardiovascular mortality includes diseases of the circulatory system (codes: 390–459) and sudden death with unknown causes (code: 798). Cancer-related mortality consists of neoplasms (codes: 140–208). Othercause mortality consists of the remaining causes of death, for example, pneumonia (code: 486), chronic bronchitis (code: 491) and dementias (code: 290).

Covariates

Standard physical and medical examinations were conducted at KORA S4 and KORA-Age1 [\[24](#page-12-10), [31](#page-12-17)], encompassing questions on age, sex, smoking habits, education, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and medical history. Smoking status was classifed as either current smoker or non-smoker. Educational attainment was recorded as completed years of schooling. Alcohol intake was divided into three categories: no consumption (0 g/day), moderate consumption (men: 0.1–39.9 g/ day, women: 0.1–19.9 g/day), and high consumption (men: \geq 40 g/day, women: \geq 20 g/day), based on selfreported consumption of beer, wine, and liquor. Physical activity levels were determined as either active or inactive, considering the frequency and duration of weekly exercise throughout diferent seasons [[31\]](#page-12-17). Medication usage was defined using Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classifcation System codes [[32](#page-12-18)]. Total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-cholesterol) were measured by enzymatic methods [\[32\]](#page-12-18). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by height squared (m^2) . Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were taken on the right arm while seated, following the World Health Organization MONICA protocol [\[33](#page-12-19)].

Statistical analysis

The analysis strategy of the study is shown in Fig. [1](#page-3-0).

Association analyses for all‑cause and cause‑specifc mortality

Cox regression was used to determine associations between each protein and time-to-death among participants with and without T2D using the R package survival $[34]$ $[34]$. The assumption of proportional hazard was checked using the Schoenfeld residual test [\[35\]](#page-12-21). Model 1 included age and sex, while model 2 incorporated variables from the Framingham Risk Score [\[36](#page-12-22)] and the European Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) [[37](#page-12-23)] / SCORE2 model [[38\]](#page-12-24) which are widely used for fatal and nonfatal CVD, encompassing age, sex, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, and antihypertensive medication usage, along with additional relevant factors including BMI, education years, alcohol consumption, and physical activity. Proteins with signifcance in model 2 in KORA S4 were subsequently validated in KORA-Age1, considering a *P*-value < 0.05 after controlling for the Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) as statistically signifcant.

Validated proteins of all-cause mortality were further examined for their associations with cause-specifc mortality (cardiovascular, cancer-related, and other-cause mortality) in the pooled dataset of KORA S4 and KORA-Age1 to obtain more robust estimates.

Fig. 1 Analysis strategy of the present study. Abbreviations: C-index, concordance index; CV death, cardiovascular death; FDR, false discovery rate; IDI, integrated discrimination improvement; KORA, Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; Lasso, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; NRI, net reclassifcation index; T2D, type 2 diabetes

Pathway enrichment analysis

To elucidate potential connections and mechanisms of all-cause and cause-specifc mortality, we annotated the validated proteins in the group with and without T2D using the STRING version 12.0 [[39\]](#page-12-25). Subsequently, the network of identifed proteins was constructed to identify pathways associated with respective all-cause or causespecifc mortality outcomes based on the Reactome pathway knowledgebase [\[40](#page-12-26)].

Prediction analysis for all‑cause mortality

Three models were constructed for groups with and without baseline T2D, incorporating a protein-based model, a clinical model, and a combined model. All three models developed in KORA S4 were applied to KORA-Age1 for validation.

First, a protein-based model was constructed using a least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (Lasso) regression $[41]$ $[41]$ to address multicollinearity. The 47 / 79 proteins that survived after FDR in the association analysis were retained for the Lasso regression. The penalization parameter λ was determined by fve-fold cross-validation with Cox regression design with the R package glmnet $[42]$ $[42]$. The Lasso-selected proteins were included in the protein-based model and in the combined model. Second, a clinical model, corresponding to model 2 employed in the association analysis, was calculated. Finally, a combined model, that included the clinical risk parameters and the selected proteins, was derived.

While Harrel's concordance index (C‐index) has limitations in assessing model discrimination $[43, 44]$ $[43, 44]$ $[43, 44]$ $[43, 44]$, we augmented our evaluation with integrated discrimination improvement (IDI) [\[45\]](#page-12-31) and category-free net reclassifcation improvement (cfNRI) [[46\]](#page-12-32). R packages compareC [[47\]](#page-12-33) was used for the calculation of C-index and Hmisc [[48\]](#page-12-34) was used for cfNRI and IDI. Effect estimates were calculated as the arithmetic mean of these measures through fve-fold cross-validation, with corresponding confdence intervals calculated from 200 bootstrap samples, using the R packages boot [\[49\]](#page-12-35) and caret [[50\]](#page-12-36).

Sensitivity analysis

We excluded the 231 individuals who participated at two diferent time points in both KORA S4 and KORA-Age1 from the KORA-Age1 sample. Furthermore, we performed a sensitivity analysis using the Fine-Gray subdistribution hazard model to estimate protein–mortality associations for cardiovascular, cancer-related, and other-cause mortality over time in the presence of other

causes of death specifc to the corresponding mortality outcome as a competing risk. Correlations between the fve protein biomarkers measured by other methods and their measurements by PEA technology were tested using Spearman's Rank correlation coefficient, and their associations with all-cause mortality were also evaluated.

The R version 4.3 ([https://www.r-project.org/\)](https://www.r-project.org/) was used for all analyses.

Results

Baseline characteristics of the study participants

Table [1](#page-5-0) presents the characteristics of the study participants at baseline stratifed by T2D status. All-cause and cause-specifc mortality rates can be found in Additional fle 1: Figure S1. In the KORA S4 study, over a median follow-up time of 15.6 years, 116 and 321 participants died (37.5 vs. 17.2 per 1000 person-years) in the group with and without T2D at baseline, respectively. Causes of death included 62 and 114 cardiovascular deaths (20.0 vs. 6.1 per 1000 person-years), 31 and 120 cancer-related deaths (10.0 vs. 6.4 per 1000 person-years), and 23 and 87 deaths from other causes (7.5 vs. 4.7 per 1000 personyears) in the group with and without T2D at baseline, respectively. In the KORA-Age1 study, over a median follow-up time of 6.9 years, 76 and 169 participants died (64.7 vs. 32.6 per 1000 person-years) in the group with and without T2D, respectively. Causes of death included 45 and 74 cardiovascular deaths (38.3 vs. 14.3 per 1000 person-years), 19 and 39 cancer-related deaths (16.2 vs. 7.5 per 1000 person-years), and 12 and 56 deaths from other causes (10.2 vs. 10.8 per 1000 person-years), respectively. Kaplan–Meier curves depicting the survival status of participants by baseline T2D status are shown in Additional fle 1: Figure S2.

Association with all‑cause and cause‑specifc mortality

In KORA S4, 47 and 79 proteins, including 36 overlapping proteins, showed signifcant associations with all-cause mortality in the group with and without T2D, respectively (Additional fle 2: Table S1). Positive associations of 35 and 62 proteins, respectively, with 29 overlapping, were successfully validated in KORA-Age1 (Additional file 2: Table S2). The correlation between the validated proteins is shown in Additional fle 1: Figure S3.

Among the validated proteins of all-cause mortality, 35, eight, and 26 proteins were positively associated with cardiovascular, cancer-related, and other-cause mortality in participants with T2D, while 55, 41 and 47 proteins were positively associated with respective causespecifc outcomes in participants without T2D (Fig. [2](#page-6-0) & in Additional file 2: Table S3-S4). Three (leukemia inhibitory factor receptor [LIF-R], tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member [TNFRSF] 10A, and growth/diferentiation factor 15 [GDF-15]), two (angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and matrix metalloproteinase-12 [MMP-12]), seven (tyrosine-protein kinase Mer [MERTK], LIF-R, protein S100-A12 [EN-RAGE], retinoic acid receptor responder protein 2 [RARRES2], interleukin-4 receptor subunit alpha [IL-4RA], CUB domain-containing protein 1, and TNFRSF10A), and three (RARRES2, TNFRSF10A, and vascular endothelial growth factor A) proteins demonstrated signifcant interaction efects with baseline T2D status (Additional fle 2: Table S5) in the pooled dataset for all-cause, cardiovascular, cancer-related, and other-cause mortality, respectively.

After excluding overlapping KORA S4 participants from the KORA-Age1 sample, the identifed signifcant associations of proteins with all-cause mortality remained signifcant in the pooled sample among both persons with and without T2D (Additional fle 2: Table S6-S7). When considering competing risks, only three (IGFBP-2, NT-proBNP, and ST2) and four (IL-4RA, CUB domaincontaining protein 1, TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand receptor 2 [TRAIL-R2], and chitinase-3-like protein 1 [CHI3L1]) proteins of the validated proteins in the group with T2D were signifcantly associated with cardiovascular and cancer-related mortality, respectively, while 15, 27 and four proteins remained significantly associated with the respective cause-specifc outcomes in participants without T2D (Additional fle 2: Table S8-S9).

The correlation coefficients of the five proteins measured by other methods and PEA technology ranged from 0.5250 to 0.8884 (Additional fle 2: Table S10). Except for IL-8, the associations between IL-1RA, IL-6, and all-cause mortality in the group with T2D, as well as the associations between IGFBP-2, NT-proBNP, and allcause mortality in both groups with and without T2D, were replicated.

Mechanism network and related pathways of identifed protein sets

The resulting protein–protein networks for all-cause mortality are presented in Fig. [3.](#page-8-0) Several pathways like the immune system and signaling by interleukins were overrepresented in both persons with and without T2D, while regulation of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) transport and uptake by IGFBPs was enriched exclusively in the group with T2D. Results were similar for cardiovascular mortality (Additional fle 2: Table S11).

Prediction of all‑cause mortality

Five (NT-proBNP, GDF-15, TRAIL-R2, kidney injury molecule 1 [KIM1], and IGFBP-2) and 12 proteins (NTproBNP, GDF-15, TRAIL-R2, KIM1, MMP-12, CHI3L1, prostasin, EN-RAGE, polymeric immunoglobulin

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of study population

Data are presented as median (25th, 75th percentile) for continuous variables and n (%) for categorical variables

Abbreviations: *BMI* body mass index; *HbA1c* haemoglobin A1c; *HDL* high‐density lipoprotein; *KORA* Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; *T2D* type 2 diabetes

^a Data were calculated in 138 participants with T2D (60 deaths vs. 78 no event) and 1208 participants without T2D (296 deaths vs. 912 no event) at KORA S4

^b Data were calculated in 128 participants with T2D (55 deaths vs. 73 no event) and 1177 participants without T2D (289 deaths vs. 888 no event) at KORA S4 ^c Data were calculated in 142 participants with T2D (62 deaths vs. 80 no event) and 1176 participants without T2D (286 deaths vs. 890 no event) at KORA S4

^d Data were calculated in 1299 participants without T2D (321 deaths vs. 978 no event) at KORA S4

receptor, fbroblast growth factor 23 [FGF-23], pentraxin-related protein PTX3, and IL-8), with four overlapping proteins, were selected to be included in the protein-based prediction model for all-cause mortality in those with and without T2D, respectively, using Lasso.

In the group with T2D, in KORA S4, the protein-based model displayed similar predictive performance as the clinical model with no signifcant improvements in any of the performance indicators, while the combined model showed signifcant improvements in ΔC-index, cfNRI, cfNRI_{survivors}, and IDI, compared to the clinical model (Table [2](#page-9-0) & Additional fle 2: Figure S4). Results were similar in KORA-Age1. In the group without T2D, the model performance of all three models tended to be better (higher C-index) than in persons with T2D, but diferences between the protein-based and combined models compared to the clinical model were similar to those in persons with T2D for both KORA S4 and KORA-Age1, with the combined model demonstrating the best predictive performance (Table [2](#page-9-0) & Additional fle 2: Figure S4).

In a sensitivity analysis excluding overlapping participants from KORA-Age1, except for the ΔC-index, which was not signifcantly improved in the T2D group, the prediction results demonstrated improved performance of the combined model compared to the clinical model in both T2D groups (Additional fle 2: Table S12).

Discussion

Using a discovery–validation approach, we examined the association of 233 protein abundance levels, measured by PEA-based technology, with all-cause and cause-specifc mortality in individuals with and without T2D. In individuals with T2D, we identifed 35 proteins that were positively associated with all-cause mortality, while 62 proteins with positive associations were identifed in those without T2D. Interestingly, both sets of proteins shared common pathways, such as immuneand infammatory-related pathways. However, regulation of IGF transport and IGFBPs emerged as a unique pathway in the T2D group, confrming that T2D-related pathways might contribute to premature mortality in persons with T2D. Of note, albeit the examined proteins were initially selected for their links to infammation and CVD, the identifed proteins linked to all-cause mortality demonstrated associations with all examined cause-specifc outcomes, including cardiovascular, cancer-related, and other-cause mortality. While many of the identifed protein–mortality associations have been previously reported [[4–](#page-11-3)[16](#page-12-3), [51\]](#page-12-37), our study identifed four novel proteins associated with all-cause mortality. Furthermore, we showed that the addition of a limited number of proteins to prediction models based on clinical risk factors signifcantly improved the prediction of all-cause mortality for both persons with and without T2D.

Novel protein candidates for all‑cause mortality

A novel protein was defned as one lacking a signifcant association with all-cause mortality in previous epidemiological studies, such as those using proteomics measurements after controlling multiple testing. Consequently, we identifed four novel proteins, including MERTK, IL-27, monocyte chemotactic protein 3 (MCP-3), and lymphotoxin-beta receptor (LTβR). MERTK, which was found specifcally in the group with T2D, also exhibited a unique association with cancer mortality and demonstrated a signifcant interaction efect with T2D when examined in the total study group. MERTK is known to contribute to the oncogenesis of various human cancers [[52,](#page-12-38) [53](#page-13-0)] and has been linked to atherosclerosis [[54\]](#page-13-1) and diabetes [[55\]](#page-13-2). Although excessive circulating levels of MERTK have been associated with renal injury, especially in patients with T2D [[56,](#page-13-3) [57](#page-13-4)], its role in the development of premature mortality in T2D remains undefned.

In the group without T2D, IL-27 was signifcantly associated with cardiovascular and cancer mortality in the present study. This pro-inflammatory cytokine has previously been associated with incident coronary heart disease [[58](#page-13-5)] and various infammatory diseases, including lung [[59](#page-13-6)], sepsis [\[60](#page-13-7)], and hepatic injury [[61](#page-13-8)]. Similarly, in the group without T2D, MCP-3 (also known as C–C motif chemokine 7 [CCL7]) showed a signifcant association with cancer-related mortality and a borderline significant association with cardiovascular mortality. Playing a crucial role in cell recruitment to infammatory sites and diseases [[62\]](#page-13-9), dysregulation of MCP-3 has been linked to cardiac infammation and impaired cardiac function [\[63](#page-13-10)].

(See fgure on next page.)

Fig. 2 Association of validated 35 and 62 proteins for all-cause mortality in the groups with and without baseline type 2 diabetes (T2D),

respectively, and their associations with all-cause and cause-specifc mortality in the pooled sample. Hazard ratios have been calculated per 1 SD increase in normalized protein expression values on a log2 scale. Efect estimates and *P*-values were derived from Cox regression analysis adjusted for age, sex, total cholesterol, high‐density lipoprotein cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, antihypertensive medication use, current smoking, body mass index, education years, physical activity, and alcohol consumption (model 2). * indicates that the interaction term with T2D status at baseline was statistically significant (*P*-value <0.05). The interaction effect of T2D status was examined by adding the term (protein×T2D status) to model 2 among all participants combined. Abbreviations: KORA, Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; T2D, type 2 diabetes. Full names of the biomarkers can be found in Additional fle 1: Table S1

Baseline diabetes status - With T2D + Without T2D

Fig. 2 (See legend on previous page.)

Another novel biomarker observed in both groups with and without T2D was LTβR. In both T2D groups, LTβR was associated with cardiovascular and other-cause mortality, while among individuals without T2D only, it showed signifcant association with cancer-related mortality in this study. LTβR, a cell surface receptor and a

Fig. 3 Protein–protein interaction networks of validated all-cause mortality-associated proteins among participants (**A**) with and (**B**) without type 2 diabetes at baseline. The edges between protein nodes represent the interaction score between the proteins from the STRING database considering all types of evidence. Only edges featuring interaction scores>.15 are displayed. The thickness of edges corresponds to the strength of data support. Node color signifes the Reactome pathway the protein is associated with. The fve most enriched Reactome pathways are displayed. Abbreviations: Full names of the biomarkers can be found in Additional fle 1: Table S1

member of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, is involved in various immunological and infammatory pathways [\[64](#page-13-11), [65](#page-13-12)], contributing to processes such as liver regeneration and lipid homeostasis [[66,](#page-13-13) [67](#page-13-14)]. Notably, IL-27, MCP-3, and LTβR, in a population-based cohort study, were reported to have a positive but nonsignifcant association with all-cause mortality after Bonferroni correction [\[4](#page-11-3)].

Previous proteomics studies

Many of our validated all-cause mortality-associated proteins align with previous investigations using highthroughput technologies [\[4](#page-11-3)[–13\]](#page-12-1) (details see Additional fle 2: Table S13). Our study successfully replicated 50 proteins among 1364 signifcant all-cause mortality-associated proteins identified using affinity-based proteomics (SOMAscan assay) in a population-based cohort (22,913 participants and 7061 deaths) [\[4](#page-11-3)]. Using the same type of assay, another prospective study (997 participants and 504 deaths) identifed 193 proteins signifcantly associated with all-cause mortality, with 24 of them aligning with our findings [\[6](#page-11-6)]. Using PEA technology, in a prospective study (3918 participants with 974 deaths), four of their identifed eight all-cause mortality-associated proteins showed consistent positive association with our fndings [\[5](#page-11-7)]. In addition, a further cohort study (1713 participants and 590 deaths) explored seven diabetesrelated proteins, revealing two of our validated proteins to be associated with both all-cause and cardiovascular mortality [[7\]](#page-11-5). Moreover, another population-based study (3523 participants and 755 all-cause and 167 cardiovascular deaths) employed a modifed ELISA technique, identifying 38 and 35 proteins to be associated with allcause and cardiovascular mortality, respectively, with six proteins overlapping with our study [[8\]](#page-11-4).

Other proteomics population-based studies using mass spectrometry-based methods and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy also explored associations with all-cause and cause-specifc mortality [[17](#page-12-39), [18\]](#page-12-0). Further studies focused on all-cause mortality among patients with CVD [9-[13](#page-12-1)]. Additionally, four proteomics studies explored associations with cardiovascular mortality among patients with CVD [[13–](#page-12-1)[15](#page-12-2)] and renal diseases [[16\]](#page-12-3).

Notably, proteins such as IL-6 [\[4](#page-11-3), [6,](#page-11-6) [8](#page-11-4), [10](#page-12-40)[–15](#page-12-2)] identifed in the group without T2D, FGF-23 [[4,](#page-11-3) [8–](#page-11-4)[15\]](#page-12-2), TRAIL-R2 [[4,](#page-11-3) [11–](#page-12-41)[15\]](#page-12-2), and GDF-15 [\[4](#page-11-3)[–6](#page-11-6), [8](#page-11-4), [11](#page-12-41), [12](#page-12-42), [14](#page-12-43), [15](#page-12-2)] identifed in both groups with and without T2D in the present study, emerge as the most reported proteins for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. These consistent findings

Table 2 Predictive performance for all-cause mortality

Abbreviations: *C‐index* concordance index; *cfNRI* category‐free net reclassifcation index; *IDI* independent discrimination improvement; *KORA* Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; *T2D* type 2 diabetes

^a The five selected proteins which were included in the protein-based model and the combined model in the group with type 2 diabetes are NT-proBNP, GDF-15, TRAIL-R2, KIM1, and IGFBP-2

^b The 12 selected proteins which were included in the protein-based model and the combined model in the group without type 2 diabetes are NT-proBNP, GDF-15, TRAIL-R2, KIM1, MMP-12, CHI3L1, PRSS8, EN-RAGE, PIGR, FGF-23, PTX3, and IL-8

c The diference in concordance index (ΔC‐index) comparing the protein-based and the combined models with the clinical model was calculated according to the formula: ΔC-index = C-index _{protein-based model / combined model} - C-index_{clinical model})

Bold indicates statistically signifcant value

across various studies underscore the robustness and clinical relevance of these proteins as potential markers for mortality risk.

However, our study distinguishes itself by specifcally identifying biomarkers according to baseline T2D status, a novel approach compared to previous studies that assessed associations in population-based samples or in patients with CVD or renal diseases. Diferences in targeted age groups, follow-up durations, and measurement techniques [[25](#page-12-11), [68](#page-13-15)] contribute to variations among studies.

Prediction of all‑cause mortality

Our study is the frst to establish proteomics-enriched predictive models for all-cause mortality separately for those with and without prevalent T2D. The significant improvement in predictive performance by adding the selected proteins to a clinical prediction model, evident in both the discovery and validation samples, was refected by a 6.8% and 6.6% increase in the C-index for the group with and without baseline T2D, respectively, in the validation sample. This enhancement underscores the clinical relevance and potential applicability of these protein-enriched models in clinical practice.

Notably, our fnding highlighted proteins such as GDF-15 [\[4](#page-11-3), [8,](#page-11-4) [11](#page-12-41), [12\]](#page-12-42), TRAIL-R2 [[9,](#page-12-4) [11](#page-12-41)[–13\]](#page-12-1), KIM1 [[9,](#page-12-4) [16](#page-12-3)], NT-proBNP [\[8](#page-11-4)], IGFBP-2 [\[8](#page-11-4)], and MMP-12 [[9](#page-12-4)] as standout prognostic proteins for all-cause mortality, aligning partially with previous investigations in the general population or patients with CVD. For instance, Eiriksdottir et al. [\[4](#page-11-3)] developed prediction models with 98, 117, and 199 proteins for all-cause mortality at 2-, 5-, and 10-year intervals in a population-based sample, showcasing a 4.3%, 3.4%, and 2.4% improvement in C-index compared to age-sex-based protein models, respectively. In these models, GDF-15 emerged as the most powerful predictor. Similarly, in another population-based cohort with 14.3 years of follow-up, Ho et al. [[8](#page-11-4)] constructed a 12-protein-based model that showed a 4.6% improvement in the C-index on top of clinical variables. Their constructed model included GDF-15, NT-proBNP, and IGFBP-2. Unterhuber et al. [[9\]](#page-12-4) established a 20-protein model in patients with CVD, demonstrating a 9.6–12.5% improvement in the C-index for 10-year all-cause mortality prediction compared to a baseline clinical model, which also included TRAIL-R2, MMP-12, and KIM1. Additionally, Skau et al. reported GDF-15 and TRAIL-R2 as potent predictors for 10-year all-cause mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction [\[12](#page-12-42)] or peripheral arterial disease [\[11](#page-12-41)]. However, the practical application of these models in clinical settings requires cautious

consideration due to variations in proteins, populations, and methodologies across studies.

Strengths and limitations

We employed advanced targeted proteomics technology to investigate associations of a broad spectrum of proteins with mortality. A notable strength of our analysis strategy is the validation of the initially identifed proteins in another study. Specifcally examining protein– mortality associations by T2D status ofered insights into the underlying mechanisms leading to mortality in individuals with and without T2D.

However, certain limitations of the present study need consideration. First, the PEA approach provided relative, rather than absolute, protein concentrations. Importantly, this diference did not afect the reported associations in this study, as evidenced by consistent results obtained with other measurements for a subset of proteins (Additional fle 2: Table S10) [[25\]](#page-12-11). Nonetheless, it has to be acknowledged that the availability of absolute protein measurements would facilitate the transfer of derived prediction models into clinical practice. Secondly, the limited number of deaths resulted in a relatively low power for detecting diferences in causespecific mortality. Therefore, we restricted analyses on cause-specifc mortality to the proteins signifcantly related to all-cause mortality after validation and did not follow a stringent discovery–validation strategy for the identifcation of proteins related to cause-specifc mortality outcomes based on all measured proteins. Furthermore, to enhance statistical power, we pooled samples from the discovery and validation cohorts to obtain more robust estimates for associations between proteins and cause-specifc mortality and refrained from developing prediction models for cause-specifc mortality outcomes. Additionally, although validation in KORA-Age1 reinforced the results for the validated proteins, we might lack replication for some proteins, especially if their impact was infuenced by age, given that the KORA-Age1 participants were all older than 64 years. Moreover, it is noteworthy that there is some overlap between the participants of KORA-Age1 and KORA S4 albeit participants were examined at diferent time points. However, excluding these overlapping participants from our analyses did not lead to substantial changes. Finally, the shorter follow-up duration of KORA-Age1 compared to KORA S4 needs to be acknowledged as a limitation.

Conclusions

In summary, our study identifed common and distinct mortality-related proteins among individuals with and without baseline T2D, emphasizing the pivotal role of

these proteins in mortality. The findings highlighted the signifcance of immune and infammatory processes in both examined groups and the regulation of IGF transport and uptake by IGFBPs specifcally in individuals with T2D. In addition, some variations in the most relevant proteins for improved mortality prediction were observed between those with and without T2D, underscoring the need to further explore disease-specifc prediction models.

Abbreviations

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-024-03636-0) [org/10.1186/s12916-024-03636-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-024-03636-0).

Additional fle 1: Figure S1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for the present study; Figure S2. Kaplan–Meier curves for all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, cancer-related mortality, and other-cause mortality stratifed by baseline type 2 diabetes status in KORA S4 and KORA-Age1; Figure S3, Correlation between the validated 35 and 62 protein biomarkers for all-cause mortality in the group with and without type 2 diabetes, respectively; Figure S4. The area under the curves for all-cause mortality in the group with and without type 2 diabetes in the KORA S4 and KORA-Age1 studies.

Additional fle 2: Table S1. Longitudinal association of single protein biomarkers with all-cause mortality among participants with and without type 2 diabetes in the KORA S4 sample (233 proteins); Table S2. Validation

of results in KORA-Age1 for proteins signifcantly associated with all-cause mortality in the KORA S4 sample; Table S3. Associations of 35 validated proteins of all-cause mortality in the group with type 2 diabetes with cause-specific mortality in the pooled sample ($n = 447$); Table S4. Associations of 62 validated proteins of all-cause mortality in the group without type 2 diabetes with cause-specifc mortality in the pooled sample ($n = 2129$; Table S5. 68 validated proteins for all-cause mortality and their association with cause-specifc mortality and interaction efect with type 2 diabetes in the pooled sample; Table S6. Sensitivity analysis for all-cause and cause-specifc mortality after exclusion of overlapping KORA S4 participants from the KORA-Age1 sample among participants with type 2 diabetes in the pooled sample ($n = 402$); Table S7. Sensitivity analysis for all-cause and cause-specifc mortality after exclusion of overlapping KORA S4 participants from the KORA-Age1 sample among participants without type 2 diabetes in the pooled sample ($n = 1943$); Table S8. Sensitivity analysis considering other causes of death as competing risk among participants with type 2 diabetes in the pooled sample; Table S9. Sensitivity analysis considering other causes of death as competing risk among participants without type 2 diabetes in the pooled sample; Table S10. Proteins tested by other measurements in the KORA S4 sample; Table S11. Top pathways related to all-cause, cardiovascular, cancer-related and other-cause mortality enriched by their associated protein biomarkers; Table S12. Predictive performance for all-cause mortality after exclusion of overlapping KORA S4 participants from the KORA-Age1 sample; Table S13. Overlap of 68 mortality-related protein biomarkers identifed in the present analysis with previous literature.

Acknowledgements

We thank all participants for their long-term commitment to the KORA study, the staff for data collection and research data management and the members of the KORA Study Group (https://www.helmholtz-munich.de/en/epi/cohort/ kora) who are responsible for the design and conduct of the study. HL would like to thank the China Scholarship Council for the fnancial support (File No. 201906380066).

Authors' contributions

HL and BT conceptualised the research question. HL drafted the analysis plan, performed the statistical analysis and wrote the manuscript with guidance from BT. AH provided statistical analysis advice. AP1, SMH, WR, CH, CG, AP2 and BT contributed data. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript. HL and BT had primary responsibility for the fnal content.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. The KORA study was initiated and fnanced by the Helmholtz Zentrum München – German Research Center for Environmental Health, which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and by the State of Bavaria. Data collection in the KORA study is done in cooperation with the University Hospital of Augsburg. The KORA-Age project was fnanced by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF FKZ 01ET0713 and 01ET1003A) as part of the 'Health in old age' program. The present research was partly funded by the Bavarian State Ministry of Health and Care through the research project DigiMed Bayern [\(www.digimed-bayern.de\)](http://www.digimed-bayern.de). Proteomics data used in the present analysis were partly funded by the Helmholtz Institute for Metabolic, Obesity and Vascular Research – Project Initiative 2018 (HI-MAG). The German Diabetes Center is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Health (Berlin, Germany) and the Ministry of Culture and Science of the state North Rhine-Westphalia (Düsseldorf, Germany) and receives additional funding from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) through the German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD e.V.). The funder had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed in the current study are not publicly available due to German data protection laws, and restrictions were imposed by the ethics committee of the Bavarian Chamber of Physicians to ensure data privacy of the study participants but cooperation partners can obtain permission to use

KORA data under the terms of a project agreement [\(https://helmholtz-muenc](https://helmholtz-muenchen.managed-otrs.com/external/) [hen.managed-otrs.com/external/](https://helmholtz-muenchen.managed-otrs.com/external/)).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All study methods were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Bavarian Chamber of Physicians, Munich (KORA S4: EC No. 99186) and the Bavarian Medical Association (KORA-Age: EC No. 08094). Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH), Neuherberg, Germany. ²Institute for Medical Information Processing, Biometry and Epidemiology (IBE), Faculty of Medicine, Pettenkofer School of Public Health, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany.³ Metabolomics and Proteomics Core, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH), Neuherberg, Germany. 4 German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Partner München-Neuherberg, Neuherberg, Germany.⁵ Institute for Biometrics and Epidemiology, German Diabetes Center, Leibniz Center for Diabetes Research at Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany. ⁶German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), Partner Düsseldorf, Neuherberg, Germany. ⁷ Institute for Clinical Diabetology, German Diabetes Center, Leibniz Center for Diabetes Research at Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany. ⁸ Department of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Medical Faculty and University Hospital Düsseldorf, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany. ⁹ Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH), Neuherberg, Germany. ¹⁰Biostatistics and Medical Biometry, Medical School OWL, Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany.

Received: 3 April 2024 Accepted: 13 September 2024 Published online: 27 September 2024

References

- Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration. Life expectancy associated with different ages at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes in high-income countries: 23 million person-years of observation. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2023;11(10):731–42.
- 2. Pearson-Stuttard J, Bennett J, Cheng YJ, Vamos EP, Cross AJ, Ezzati M, et al. Trends in predominant causes of death in individuals with and without diabetes in England from 2001 to 2018: an epidemiological analysis of linked primary care records. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021;9(3):165–73.
- Wik L, Nordberg N, Broberg J, Bjorkesten J, Assarsson E, Henriksson S, et al. Proximity Extension Assay in Combination with Next-Generation Sequencing for High-throughput Proteome-wide Analysis. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2021;20: 100168.
- 4. Eiriksdottir T, Ardal S, Jonsson BA, Lund SH, Ivarsdottir EV, Norland K, et al. Predicting the probability of death using proteomics. Commun Biol. 2021;4(1):758.
- 5. Drake I, Hindy G, Almgren P, Engstrom G, Nilsson J, Melander O, et al. Methodological considerations for identifying multiple plasma proteins associated with all-cause mortality in a population-based prospective cohort. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):6734.
- Tanaka T, Basisty N, Fantoni G, Candia J, Moore AZ, Biancotto A, et al. Plasma proteomic biomarker signature of age predicts health and life span. Elife. 2020;9:e61073.
- 7. Molvin J, Jujic A, Melander O, Pareek M, Rastam L, Lindblad U, et al. Proteomic exploration of common pathophysiological pathways in diabetes and cardiovascular disease. ESC Heart Fail. 2020;7(6):4151–8.
- 8. Ho JE, Lyass A, Courchesne P, Chen G, Liu C, Yin X, et al. Protein Biomarkers of Cardiovascular Disease and Mortality in the Community. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018;7(14):e008108.
- 9. Unterhuber M, Kresoja KP, Rommel KP, Besler C, Baragetti A, Kloting N, et al. Proteomics-Enabled Deep Learning Machine Algorithms Can Enhance Prediction of Mortality. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021;78(16):1621–31.
- 10. Schmitz T, Harmel E, Heier M, Peters A, Linseisen J, Meisinger C. Infammatory plasma proteins predict short-term mortality in patients with an acute myocardial infarction. J Transl Med. 2022;20(1):457.
- 11. Skau E, Henriksen E, Leppert J, Wagner P, Arnlov J, Hedberg P. Targeted multiplex proteomics for prediction of all-cause mortality during longterm follow-up in outpatients with peripheral arterial disease. Atherosclerosis. 2020;311:143–9.
- 12. Skau E, Henriksen E, Wagner P, Hedberg P, Siegbahn A, Leppert J. GDF-15 and TRAIL-R2 are powerful predictors of long-term mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2017;24(15):1576–83.
- 13. Ferreira JP, Sharma A, Mehta C, Bakris G, Rossignol P, White WB, et al. Multiproteomic approach to predict specifc cardiovascular events in patients with diabetes and myocardial infarction: fndings from the EXAMINE trial. Clin Res Cardiol. 2021;110(7):1006–19.
- 14. Pol T, Hijazi Z, Lindback J, Oldgren J, Alexander JH, Connolly SJ, et al. Using multimarker screening to identify biomarkers associated with cardiovascular death in patients with atrial fbrillation. Cardiovasc Res. 2022;118(9):2112–23.
- 15. Wallentin L, Eriksson N, Olszowka M, Grammer TB, Hagström E, Held C, et al. Plasma proteins associated with cardiovascular death in patients with chronic coronary heart disease: A retrospective study. PLoS Med. 2021;18(1): e1003513.
- 16. Feldreich T, Nowak C, Fall T, Carlsson AC, Carrero JJ, Ripsweden J, et al. Circulating proteins as predictors of cardiovascular mortality in end-stage renal disease. J Nephrol. 2019;32(1):111–9.
- 17. Orwoll ES, Wiedrick J, Jacobs J, Baker ES, Piehowski P, Petyuk V, et al. Highthroughput serum proteomics for the identifcation of protein biomarkers of mortality in older men. Aging Cell. 2018;17:e12717.
- 18. Fischer K, Kettunen J, Wurtz P, Haller T, Havulinna AS, Kangas AJ, et al. Biomarker profling by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy for the prediction of all-cause mortality: an observational study of 17,345 persons. PLoS Med. 2014;11(2): e1001606.
- 19. Gudmundsdottir V, Zaghlool SB, Emilsson V, Aspelund T, Ilkov M, Gudmundsson EF, et al. Circulating Protein Signatures and Causal Candidates for Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes. 2020;69(8):1843–53.
- 20. Elhadad MA, Jonasson C, Huth C, Wilson R, Gieger C, Matias P, et al. Deciphering the Plasma Proteome of Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes. 2020;69(12):2766–78.
- 21. Rathmann W, Haastert B, Icks A, Lowel H, Meisinger C, Holle R, et al. High prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus in Southern Germany: target populations for efficient screening. The KORA survey 2000 Diabetologia. 2003;46(2):182–9.
- 22. Alberti KG, Zimmet PZ. Defnition, diagnosis and classifcation of diabetes mellitus and its complications. Part 1: diagnosis and classifcation of diabetes mellitus provisional report of a WHO consultation. Diabet Med. 1998;15(7):539–553.
- 23. Thorand B, Kolb H, Baumert J, Koenig W, Chambless L, Meisinger C, et al. Elevated levels of interleukin-18 predict the development of type 2 diabetes: results from the MONICA/KORA Augsburg Study, 1984–2002. Diabetes. 2005;54(10):2932–8.
- 24. Peters A, Doring A, Ladwig KH, Meisinger C, Linkohr B, Autenrieth C, et al. Multimorbidity and successful aging: the population-based KORA-Age study. Z Gerontol Geriatr. 2011;44(Suppl 2):41–54.
- 25. Petrera A, von Toerne C, Behler J, Huth C, Thorand B, Hilgendorff A, et al. Multiplatform Approach for Plasma Proteomics: Complementarity of Olink Proximity Extension Assay Technology to Mass Spectrometry-Based Protein Profling. J Proteome Res. 2021;20(1):751–62.
- 26. Luo H, Bauer A, Nano J, Petrera A, Rathmann W, Herder C, et al. Associations of plasma proteomics with type 2 diabetes and related traits: results from the longitudinal KORA S4/F4/FF4 Study. Diabetologia. 2023;66(9):1655–68.
- 27. Thorand B, Zierer A, Buyukozkan M, Krumsiek J, Bauer A, Schederecker F, et al. A Panel of 6 Biomarkers Signifcantly Improves the Prediction of Type 2 Diabetes in the MONICA/KORA Study Population. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2021;106(4):e1647–59.
- 28. Muller S, Martin S, Koenig W, Hanif-Moghaddam P, Rathmann W, Haastert B, et al. Impaired glucose tolerance is associated with increased serum

concentrations of interleukin 6 and co-regulated acute-phase proteins but not TNF-alpha or its receptors. Diabetologia. 2002;45(6):805–12.

- 29. Herder C, Baumert J, Thorand B, Koenig W, de Jager W, Meisinger C, et al. Chemokines as risk factors for type 2 diabetes: results from the MONICA/ KORA Augsburg study, 1984–2002. Diabetologia. 2006;49(5):921–9.
- 30. Sujana C, Salomaa V, Kee F, Costanzo S, Soderberg S, Jordan J, et al. Natriuretic Peptides and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: Results From the Biomarkers for Cardiovascular Risk Assessment in Europe (BiomarCaRE) Consortium. Diabetes Care. 2021;44(11):2527–35.
- 31. Meisinger C, Thorand B, Schneider A, Stieber J, Doring A, Lowel H. Sex differences in risk factors for incident type 2 diabetes mellitus: the MONICA Augsburg cohort study. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162(1):82–9.
- 32. Laxy M, Knoll G, Schunk M, Meisinger C, Huth C, Holle R. Quality of Diabetes Care in Germany Improved from 2000 to 2007 to 2014, but Improvements Diminished since 2007. Evidence from the Population-Based KORA Studies. PLoS One. 2016;11(10):e0164704.
- 33. World Health Organization. Part III, population survey: section 1, population survey data component: procedures for responders—blood pressure measurement. WHO MONICA Project MONICA Manual. Geneva, Switzerland World Health Organization 1990:12–14.
- 34. Therneau TM, Lumley T, Elizabeth A, Cynthia C. survival: Survival Analysis. Version: 3.7–0. <https://github.com/therneau/survival>. 2024.
- 35. Grambsch PM, Therneau TM. Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on weighted residuals. Biometrika. 1994;81(3):515–26.
- 36. D'Agostino RB Sr, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, Massaro JM, et al. General cardiovascular risk profle for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation. 2008;117(6):743–53.
- 37. Conroy RM, Pyorala K, Fitzgerald AP, Sans S, Menotti A, De Backer G, et al. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. Eur Heart J. 2003;24(11):987–1003.
- 38. SCORE2 working group and ESC Cardiovascular risk collaboration. SCORE2 risk prediction algorithms: new models to estimate 10-year risk of cardiovascular disease in Europe. Eur Heart J. 2021;42(25):2439–54.
- 39. Szklarczyk D, Kirsch R, Koutrouli M, Nastou K, Mehryary F, Hachilif R, et al. The STRING database in 2023: protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any sequenced genome of interest. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51(D1):D638–46.
- 40. Fabregat A, Sidiropoulos K, Garapati P, Gillespie M, Hausmann K, Haw R, et al. The Reactome pathway Knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(D1):D481–487.
- 41. Tibshirani R. Regression Shrinkage and Selection Via the Lasso. J Roy Stat Soc: Ser B (Methodol). 1996;58(1):267–88.
- 42. Friedman JH, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent. J Stat Softw. 2010;33(1):1–22.
- 43. Harrell FE Jr, Calif RM, Pryor DB, Lee KL, Rosati RA. Evaluating the yield of medical tests. JAMA. 1982;247(18):2543–6.
- 44. Hartman N, Kim S, He K, Kalbfeisch JD. Pitfalls of the concordance index for survival outcomes. Stat Med. 2023;42(13):2179–90.
- 45. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS. Statistical methods for assessment of added usefulness of new biomarkers. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2010;48(12):1703–11.
- 46. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB Sr, Steyerberg EW. Extensions of net reclassifcation improvement calculations to measure usefulness of new biomarkers. Stat Med. 2011;30(1):11–21.
- 47. Kang L, Chen W. compareC: Compare Two Correlated C Indices with Right-Censored Survival Outcome. Version: 1.3.2. [https://CRAN.R-project.](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=compareC) [org/package](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=compareC)=compareC. 2022.
- 48. Harrell F, Dupont C. Hmisc: Harrell Miscellaneous. R Package Version 4.2–0. [https://CRAN.R-project.org/package](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Hmisc)=Hmisc. 2019.
- 49. Canty A, Ripley B, Brazzale AR. boot: Bootstrap Functions (Originally by Angelo Canty for S). Version: 1.3–30. [https://CRAN.R-project.org/packa](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=boot) ge=[boot](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=boot) 2024.
- 50. Kuhn M. caret: Classifcation and Regression Training. R package version 6.0–86. [https://CRAN.R-project.org/package](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caret)=caret. 2020.
- 51. Durda P, Sabourin J, Lange EM, Nalls MA, Mychaleckyj JC, Jenny NS, et al. Plasma Levels of Soluble Interleukin-2 Receptor alpha: Associations With Clinical Cardiovascular Events and Genome-Wide Association Scan. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2015;35(10):2246–53.
- 52. Chen CJ, Liu YP. MERTK Inhibition: Potential as a Treatment Strategy in EGFR Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor-Resistant Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Pharmaceuticals (Basel). 2021;14(2):130.
- 53. Linger RM, Keating AK, Earp HS, Graham DK. TAM receptor tyrosine kinases: biologic functions, signaling, and potential therapeutic targeting in human cancer. Adv Cancer Res. 2008;100:35–83.
- 54. Tajbakhsh A, Rezaee M, Kovanen PT, Sahebkar A. Efferocytosis in atherosclerotic lesions: Malfunctioning regulatory pathways and control mecha nisms. Pharmacol Ther. 2018;188:12–25.
- 55. Musso G, Cassader M, De Michieli F, Paschetta E, Pinach S, Saba F, et al. MERTK rs4374383 variant predicts incident nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and diabetes: role of mononuclear cell activation and adipokine response to dietary fat. Hum Mol Genet. 2017;26(9):1747–58.
- 56. Lee IJ, Hilliard BA, Ulas M, Yu D, Vangala C, Rao S, et al. Monocyte and plasma expression of TAM ligand and receptor in renal failure: Links to unregulated immunity and chronic infammation. Clin Immunol. 2015;158(2):231–41.
- 57. Ochodnicky P, Lattenist L, Ahdi M, Kers J, Uil M, Claessen N, et al. Increased Circulating and Urinary Levels of Soluble TAM Receptors in Diabetic Nephropathy. Am J Pathol. 2017;187(9):1971–83.
- 58. Luo H, Huemer MT, Petrera A, Hauck SM, Rathmann W, Herder C, et al. Association of plasma proteomics with incident coronary heart disease in individuals with and without type 2 diabetes: results from the popula tion-based KORA study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2024;23(1):53.
- 59. Xu Z, Wang XM, Cao P, Zhang C, Feng CM, Zheng L, et al. Serum IL-27 predicts the severity and prognosis in patients with community-acquired pneumonia: a prospective cohort study. Int J Med Sci. 2022;19(1):74–81.
- 60. Morrow KN, Coopersmith CM, Ford ML. IL-17, IL-27, and IL-33: A Novel Axis Linked to Immunological Dysfunction During Sepsis. Front Immunol. 2019;10:1982.
- 61. Zhang GL, Xie DY, Ye YN, Lin CS, Zhang XH, Zheng YB, et al. High level of IL-27 positively correlated with Th17 cells may indicate liver injury in patients infected with HBV. Liver Int. 2014;34(2):266–73.
- 62. Tsou CL, Peters W, Si Y, Slaymaker S, Aslanian AM, Weisberg SP, et al. Critical roles for CCR2 and MCP-3 in monocyte mobilization from bone marrow and recruitment to infammatory sites. J Clin Invest. 2007;117(4):902–9.
- 63. Westermann D, Savvatis K, Lindner D, Zietsch C, Becher PM, Hammer E, et al. Reduced degradation of the chemokine MCP-3 by matrix metallo proteinase-2 exacerbates myocardial infammation in experimental viral cardiomyopathy. Circulation. 2011;124(19):2082–93.
- 64. Browning JL, Ngam-ek A, Lawton P, DeMarinis J, Tizard R, Chow EP, et al. Lymphotoxin beta, a novel member of the TNF family that forms a heteromeric complex with lymphotoxin on the cell surface. Cell. 1993;72(6):847–56.
- 65. Crowe PD, VanArsdale TL, Walter BN, Ware CF, Hession C, Ehrenfels B, et al. A lymphotoxin-beta-specifc receptor. Science. 1994;264(5159):707–10.
- 66. Tumanov AV, Koroleva EP, Christiansen PA, Khan MA, Ruddy MJ, Burnette B, et al. T cell-derived lymphotoxin regulates liver regeneration. Gastroen terology. 2009;136(2):694–704 e694.
- 67. Lo JC, Wang Y, Tumanov AV, Bamji M, Yao Z, Reardon CA, et al. Lympho toxin beta receptor-dependent control of lipid homeostasis. Science. 2007;316(5822):285–8.
- 68. Graumann J, Finkernagel F, Reinartz S, Stief T, Brodje D, Renz H, et al. Multi-platform Affinity Proteomics Identify Proteins Linked to Metastasis and Immune Suppression in Ovarian Cancer Plasma. Front Oncol. 2019;9:1150.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub lished maps and institutional afliations.